G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1891-92 ~~191~~ (General Finance)

9.7 File No. 17/91

Serial Nos. ~~1-53~~ 1-41

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

R 296 (E, F)

Serial Nos. 1-45

Part B for January 07

SUBJECT.

Additional loan of Rs3000/- to Musaheb Rama Rao

53 No 5633-4 dt 24-1-07

To Mr. M. Rama Rao and D/C Mysore.

Refuses Sanction to the loan for certain reasons.

Secret

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Narasimhaiah 10.8.17

A Statement Showing the debts incurred by the late Buckshi Siva Rau

R. 6821-1-0 a-h.

Borrowed from Rama Rau, Lu-shmana Rau and Siva Rau on of the late Modikhana Gumasta Mr. Ambaji Rau at the rate of 14 annas in the year 1864-65 Corresponding to the Hindu year Rakshasi. The bond executed on ordinary Country paper Principal Rs 4500 Interest thereon Rs 2321 on the Mortgage of the Sarvamanya village of Kalkahalli.

Rs 6162-8-0

Borrowed from the late Regimentdar Ramanuja Rau Reference to be found in an entry in the hand-writing of the late Siva Rau himself. After some deductions soon after the death of Regimentdar Ramanuja Rau the principal

9-7

Notes

Mr Musaheb Rana

Rao Umesh Gahlesh

Loan papers -

(9.7.17-91)

amount to Rs 5000 & the interest Rs
1012-8-11. In the year 1873 Rajmun
-dar Hanmanta Rau's third son
Rama Rau filed a suit which has co.
Rs 150. For reference see the account
Book and also a statement in con
tion with the above transaction.

Rs 3500.

Burrowed from Sawkar Inodikha-
-na Iniinappah. The interest has
been paid from the salary every mon
subsequently the principal too has
been discharged. Reference to be
found in Iniinappah's house-
hold daily account Book.

Total Rs 16483-4

Further Particulars

1. Buckshi Siva Rau died in the month of December 1864.

2. The foregoing debts have been discharged by Parvati Bayee the wife of the late Buckshi Siva Rau between the years 1869–1873 by means of a loan borrowed from Mahadevapur Appu Rau and others.

3. In the year 1874 Parvati Bayi on account of the pressing demand of Appu Rau and others borrowed the amount from Regimentdar Lukshmana Rau and paid them off.

4. But in the year 1874 Regimentdar Lukshmana Rau demanded his money very urgently so that Parvati Bayee had to borrow from Sawkar

venachamarayya's son Mr. Varaha-
-mma Pandit by the mortgage of
her husband's Inam villages and
pay. Regnient dar Lakshmana Rau
5 Smt. Vardhemana Pandit in his turn
grew very pressing and harsh so tha
Parvati Bayee had to sell her hus-
band's Jahagir to Resaldar Ra-
ma Rau for Rs 16000 and satisfy
her creditors.

6 Resaldar Rama Rau in order to buy
the said Jahagir had to take on
his own villages loan to raise the
loan of Rs 16000 from the said Sau
Linnappah. All the papers. docu
-ments. references &c in connection
with this transaction are at presen
left with Sowkar Linnappah
for his own satisfaction and your
honor may be convinced of it by
personal inspection.

Smt. Rama Rau himself has

to pay all the interest out of the in-
come of his villages and also many
unfavourable circumstances to
supply the family bread with.
Moreover he has had to perform the
marriages of his sons and daughters
for which had to fall back upon
the income of his Jahagirs. These
and other unavoidable and yet
reasonable expenses cannot fail
to convince your honour
how the debt has grown in Rama-
Rao's own hands.

Thursday 15th october 1891.

Mysore.

Q (6) When Parvathu Boyee sold the Jahagir Villages - The amount of debt she had (and out of the total amount, Contracted by Siva Rau - and the amount of by Parvathu Boyee?

A Rs 1250 Paid on 16-1-81 to Krishna Rau son of Linkshmana Rau and to Venkatesa Bhatta for the decree amount against Parvathu boyee.

Rs 750 Paid on the 28-1-81 for due - Charging minor debts

Rs 10782-1-7 Paid on 28-11-81 to Varthamana Pandit son of Dinachan - dragya for the debt Contracted on the security of the Jahagir villages

Rs 2500. Paid on 25-2-82 to Tumkur Appiah Chetty for redeeming the mortgaged wet lands and garden at Bimmur

Rs 714-14-5. Subsequently paid to Parvathi Boyee as per decree passed for the balance.

Rs 16000-- Total

Date of the sale deed of the Jahagir villages sold to Resaldar Ramakan by Parvathi Boyee is 20th January 1881.

2. Buckshi Siva Rau husband of Parvathi Boyee left a debt of about Rs 14000 at the time of his death the amount being payable to Regimentdar Hanumanth Rau and others of whom it was borrowed. And for discharging the above debt Parvathi boyee had to borrow from Regimentdar Lukshmana Rau and Dinachandraiyya's Son as detailed above

A debt of about Rs 12000 was contracted by Siva Rau himself and

and of about Rs 4000 was contracted by Parvathi Boyee ~~himself~~ (8

3. Parvathi Boyee was obliged to contract the debt mainly to meet the expenses of the marriages of her three daughters and partly to satisfy the household extra demands.

Q The amount of debt which Resaldar Rama Rau has and the reason for incurring them.

A. 1. The amount of debt which Resaldar Rama Rau has is about Rs 22500.

Rs 20300. due to Moon'Khana Jan - mappah.

Rs 17000. for buying the Jahagir Villages and for discharging other minor debts

Rs 2300 taken on the security of the horse.

Rs 1000 Interest remaining to be paid on the above

~~Rs 20300.~~

Rs 1000 payable on account of the temple

(9

Rs 1000 Remaing to be paid to the three daughters of Parvathi Boyee

Rs 200 Smaries

Rs 22500 Total

2 If the amount of 1000 Rs (arrears of Kandayan in the Jahagir village due for Vikrithi deducted the debt remaing to me be Rs 21500

3. Rs 6000 for buying the Jahagir. Rs 5500 for the interest partly re-maining un paid and for the amount due to the three daughters of Parvathi Boyee as above stated and mainly for performing the marriages for of his three daughters and for those of his two sons and for meeting other extra expences.

D The amount of loan asked for by Rama Rau - and out of the receipts of the Jahagir village the net amount that could be paid annually towards the principal and the interest.

The revenues of the five villages of Madavar (1) Kallahalli (2) Hathwalla (3) Mandanahalli (4) and Kanaimur (5) amount annually to Rs 4099.

2. Local fund, Temple and other charges including expenditure on account of establishment of the Jahagir amount to Rs 1748.

The nett balance after deducting all charges would be Rs 2351 towards the satisfaction of the Govt Loan of Rs 22000 asked for. 1200 Rs could be paid annually towards the principal and 1000 for the interest at five per cent and as there would be a reduction year ~~year~~ after year in the amo...

of the interest itself after the first year, an increasing amount called to be paid toward the principal in the succeeding years; (11) M6

Q. The claims of Dohitra (Parvathi boyee's grandson) over the villages.

A. 1. These villages were granted as Kodagi Inam for approved Public services

2. Siva Rau and Rama Rau are sons of the same parents.

3. This for clearing the already existing debts on the estate of his brother Siva Rau that Rama Rau the nearest heir bought the Jahagir villages from his brother & wife Parvathi Boyee.

The Dohitra (the grandson of Parvathi Boyee through her daughter) was born about the month of November 1880 but the exact date [illegible]

long before and to do
these debts the villages were
sold in January 1881.
About the year 1890 the said
Grandson of Parvatha boyee
died with whom the Questio
of Dowitra must end.

To Mr Mudaliar

These are some
of the papers in
connection with Rama Rao
has given me in connec
tion with his appln for
a loan - The file has
recently been sent to
the Commissioner (Rev) -
These papers may be
put into the file - I think
the matter herein quoted
is already on record in the
Dy Director's letter dtd 15 Oct 82

Pl put Br. Government's for attention
Mrs
15/10/82

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Mysore
1-12-91

My dear Sir,

The Dewan bids me to inquire what action has been taken on the application of Risaldar Rama Rao, brother of Regimentdar Lakshmi Rao, praying for a loan on the mortgage of his Inam village.

Rama Rao had appeared before the Dewan on the 14th ultimo when he was informed that the

ENDORSEMENT ON LETTER

am been ordered to in-
quire.

yours &c.

(Signed) T. Ananda Row

A. Rungaswamiengar Esqr

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Additional loan of Rs 3000 to Musalib Rama Row

LAST REFERENCE. Letter No. 74 dated 19 July 1893 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore Ott.

S. No 5 in 7, e (No 152 R.P. Branch)

With reference to Govt: Proceedings Camp No: 339-41 dated 6 June 1893, authorising the grant of a loan of Rs 22,000 at 5 p.c interest per annum to Musalib Rama Rao on the first mortgage of the Jahagir villages belonging to him and of those purchased by him from his brother

Siva Rao's widow Parvati Bai,
the Deputy Commissioner,
in Submitting Statements
Nos 1 & 2 shewing the amounts
due by Rama Rao to Sowcar
Timmapaiya and others,
reports that he has settled
Sowcar Timmapaiyas claim
by paying him Rs 21,600
and recommends for
a further loan of Rs 3,000 on
the security of ~~his~~ Ram Rao's house
in the fort of Mysore,
which is estimated at
Rs 3000, so as to enable
him to clear the other
debts under decrees &c,
amounting to Rs 4,255/-.
The Deputy Commissioner
adds that the uncollected
balance

(16.

balance from the income of Inam villages which amounts to about Rs 2420/- may be credited towards the debt.

The total amount of the debt ~~will~~ then be Rs 25,000.

Submitted for orders.

14/8/93

14/8/93

Sanctioned

8/11

Drafted
SR 8/11

Office Note.

17

Loan to Musaheb Rama Rau
Ex. Resaldar.

Last Reference.
Petition 3544. dy. 26.6.02

The grant of a loan of Rs 22000/- at 5 per cent interest was sanctioned in Govt. Order* Camp. No 339-41 dy 6.6.1893, to Musaheb Rama Rau, on the hypothecation of his jaghir and other villages, purchased by him from his brother Siva Rau's widow, Parvati Bai.

* Sl No 5 of file No 51 of 97-98

2

The deputy Comr Mysore recommended* and Sanction was accorded** to the grant of a further Sum of Rs 3000/- as loan to Musaheb Rama Rau on the security of his house in the Fort, Mysore, valued at, over Rs 3000.

* Sl No 7. D. c's Letter No 748 dy 19.7.1893
** Sl No 9 No 176-8 dy 10.11.1893

Sl No 12. Pet: 3228 dy 16.5.1902

Musaheb Rama Rau pia for Either (1) the grant, as loan

of

of a further sum of Rs 8000/
or (2) the interest only (and nothing towards the principal) on the
amount due being deducted
from the annual income of the
Inam villages hypothecated to
Govt.

A full report was called for, from the Dy. Comr. Mysre, as per orders of the Dewan on the above petition, on the subject of the how the debt now stands. The report is awaited.

Sl 13 7C 1968 R 7 51-97 & 10. f. 02

Musaheb Rama Rau now prays (1) that his jaghir be resumed by Govt and kept under its management till as all his debts may be liquidated from the annual income accruing from the Inam villages) at the Same time making due provision for the maintenance of his family.

Pet: No 3546 & 23. 5. 02

(2) Govt: appointments be conferred upon Such of his Sons as

as may be found eligible having regard to the eminent services rendered to the State by his late grandfather;

(3) The grant to him of the pay of a Musahib which post is said to be held by him, honorarily.

Subdr. 11/7/02

Prayer 1. should be dealt with after receipt of a reply from the Durbar—

Prayer 2. is submitted for orders.

No orders on memorandum necessary

Prayer 3 — Is a matter for the Palace Dept to consider.

Submitted to Hon'ble Secretary

17.VII.02

R.D. 16.7.02

52,22

Subd to the Dewan

14/7/02

Draft Subd.

16/7/02

(4)

Mutsaheb Rama Rau submitted a memorial to Her Highness the Maharani Regent in almost the same terms as is contained in his Pet.No 3544 dt 26.6.02. Pet.No 206 dt 16.7.02

A docket as per orders has been issued since and the Dy Comrs report called for therein is awaited. X No 7L 241/R7 51-9 dt 28.7.02

Issue another reminder & repeat every fortnight till report is recd

R 18/9/02

Remr drafted
R. 19/9/02.

re loan.

A reminder petition from Mutsaheb Ramadas was received in Office on 17.10.02.

"What is this about?
How does this matter stand?
Intg. P.R.K.
11.10.02

R 7/11/02 Submitted. The fees - the Dy Comr's report is awaited - Reminder issued last on 14.11.02.
R 19/11/02

Remind after a week more by memo
R 21/11/02

10/12/02,

In reply to this office D.O. of 4.12.02, the Dy. Comr. replies by a D.O. that the necessary Statistics have been collected but that there is a discrepancy as to the balan of loan due to Govt. by the Musalieb, which can only be cleared by a reference to the Comptr., and that the necessary report call for will be submitted on receipt of a reply from that officer to the reference made to him

Sub A.
K.S
24/12/02

Wait till the reopening of the offices & then send a reminder
K
24/12/02

Attended to
K.S
21/1/03.

Office note continued—

The Dy Commissioner, Bezwada, reports that a ~~statement~~ statement received from the ~~Commissr~~ Comptroller shewed Rs 18,612-13-5 as due to Govt by Mr Ramakrishna, but as this did not

(1

agree with the amount mentioned in the Statement received from the Dist. Jt Officer, the Comptroller has been requested to furnish correct figures up to date, which on receipt will be submitted to Govt.

He sends ~~three~~ four Statements furnished by Mr Rama Rao -

1 Statement, Shewing Rs 18,107-15-10 as having been paid to Govt on account of the loan already granted - This does not shew how much has been paid towards principal and how much towards interest. — Statement A

2. & 3 do. Shewing the income from his Jaghir lands which amts to Rs 5,584-14-2 & disbursements therefrom amounting to Rs 1,957-2-4 — B & C.

4 do Shewing the ~~disbursements~~ liabilities (i.e. charges on the income) of the year Vrz Rs 11,023-14-6 — D

The Dy Commissioner adds that Mr Rama Rao prays that the additional loan (Rs 8,000/-) may be granted to him on the further security of his wet lands in the village of Bannur if the security already furnished be deemed insufficient - He further states that according to the mortgage —

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Deed the management of the villages was not kept under Govt and it is not known why the terms of the bond were not enforced, that a report will be made on the subject after enquiry. Mr. Rama Rao, it is reported, has not been regular in paying the annual instalments according to the terms stipulated.

Secy to H.C. Govt. Fin. Dept.

Secy Fin. 26/3.

No. H. 1645 of 31-3-03.

The Dy. Commissioner was accordingly asked to expedite his report on the following points;

(1) the exact amount due to Govt. by Imaam Sahib Sri. Rama Rao towards the loan of Rs. 25,000 above referred to;

(2) the cause of his not keeping the Inam villages mortgaged to Govt. under Govt. management according the mortgage bond.

No. 233 of 26-9-04 from the Dy. Commr. Mysore Dist.

In reply the Dy. Commr. submits as regards point (1) a memo furnished by Sri. Rama Rao which shows that he has paid up to 14-5-04.

Serial No.

a sum of Rs. 20,607-15-10 to the Nuzvid District and Nandigama Taluk Treasuries and states that he sent the Extracts of the said Memo to the District and Taluk Treasuries for Verification.

+ Inserted vide Genl. Fin. No 2501/97.

In the Statement+ submitted by the Comptroller showing the advances, recoveries and the balances outstanding on 30-6-03 on account of Special loan to Encumbered Jahagirdars, it is shown that arrears of instalments of Rs. 7,418-15-4 towards principal and Rs. 5-10-0 towards interest as being due from Mr. Rama Rao. The Dy. Comr. says that Mr. Rama Rao is not in a position to say whether the balance is correct, as the details of the appropriation of the amounts paid by him from time to time have not been furnished to him, that this will be done after the compilation of the personal ledger in his office, that Mr. Rama Rao attributes delay for payment of the above arrears to the non receipt of the todey revenue

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

Serial No.

of his Inam villages, that his claim is still under enquiry and that a report will be submitted to Govt. for orders shortly.

As regards point (2) the Dy. Collr. says that in 1894 the then Dy. Collr. on the application of Rao Rama Rao permitted him to do the collection work himself and pay the annual instalments to the Taluk Treasury, that in 1895 Rao Rama Rao was empowered to exercise the power specified in Col (b) of Sec. 99 of the Land Rev. Code with respect to the Inam villages mortgaged to Govt.; that the Rao Rama Rao states that he is ready to put the Govt. in possession of the mortgaged inam villages and that on receipt of Govt. order necessary instructions will be issued to the Amildar in the matter.

Vide para Nos. 278 of 12-5-94 Copy sent by Dy. Collr.

† Vide G.O. No. 9538 of R. 3679 16 ... 96 - Copy sent by Dy. Collr

† Vide Rao Rs. statement before Dy. Collr. on 19-9-94.

The Dy. Collr further states that Rao Rama Rao prays for a further loan of about 5000 Rs. to enable him to pay up his other creditors and offers to give further security if required in addition to the inam villages already mortgaged to Govt. and that

do

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

question is pending before Govt.

P.T.O.

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 51 of ~~190~~ 91

(10)

Serial No.

In sanctioning the loan in 1893 the Govt laid down that the net Annual revenue received each year from the Villages, to the extent of Rs 2200 should be applied first towards the interest on the loan and the balance towards the reduction of the loan and the Dy Comr was directed to take the needful action to carryout this instruction. In the agreement it was accordingly stipulated that the Debtor should place his Villages under Govt management. It is now reported that in 1894 mr Rama Rau in spite of the condition in the agreement asked for permission to manage his own Village and this permission was given by the then Dy Comr. Such a relaxation of one of the material terms of the agreement, on which the punctual repayment of the loan depended should not have been made by the Dy Comr on his own responsibility. mr Rama Rau says he has no objection to place the Villages under Govt management now and requests he may be given an additional loan of Rs 5000 on the security of the Villages already mortgaged to Govt or of-ferred, he says he is prepared to mortgage some more property of his. Mod & Desp for orders mull 2/11/04.

Petition, Diary No. 1676 of 31-10-04 from Mr. Rama Rau

Prays that he may be granted a further loan of Rs. 5000 or he may be permitted to pay only interest on the balance of the previous loan to Enable him to liquidate his other debts.

Subs Desp mull 2/11/04

2/11/04 Mr Moosaheb Rama Rau was granted a loan of 25,000 Rs. on 29/4/04 & has paid up 20,000 & odd, but that

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

G.R.S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Serial No.

Statement of this is being verified by the Dy. Comr. He wants a further loan of at least 5000 Rs & is willing to mortgage some more of his property. May the authority be accordingly

2.11.1904

2605/

1492/ 2.11.04. Meanwhile the Dy Comr num 2/11/04 should send a full report after verification as soon as possible - The (3) allegations must be taken up and enquired into. After enquiry amounts required the question of further loan will be dealt with which is inconvenient now to do in the absence of full information

Draft-sent to 9.XI.04

Draft sent to 19/11/1904

Sub. to Secy under 12/11/04

Draft passed 15/11/1904

Note.—Contn sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 51 of 1897-98

Serial No.

To the D.C., Mysore St. No. H.3095 dated the 19.11.04.

Requests him to submit a final report as to the exact amount of the balance due by Rama Rao, & to take at once under Govt. management the villages mortgaged by him; & states that the question of a further loan being granted to him will be considered on the receipt of Verified accounts.

From the D.C. Mysore St, No: 757 dt 10.3.05.

States that according to revised accounts the balance due by Rama Rao is Rs: 15477-8-5.

Suit according to his Complaint figure his amount due from the Executors is Rs 16,839-9-7. Vide D's Lr. Submits for his information & Govt. a copy of a Docket addressed to his Complt. regarding the difference.

Submitted for orders as to whether we may await for the report intimating the correct amount after it is verified by the Complt.

28/3/05

21.3.1905

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Rev. File No. 51 of 1897-8

Serial No.

To the D.C. Mysore st., No. H:8081 d. the 26.5.05.

Requests him to state whether the amount has been verified by the Comptroller.

From the D.C. Mysore st., No: 1127 d. the 10.6.05,

States that under instructions from the Comptroller the Mysore St. Treasury Officer has been requested to verify the recoveries shown in the St. received from the Taluk with refce. to the St. Treasury Accounts & to explain the cause of difference.

Submitted for orders as to whether a further report may be awaited.

K.S. 16/6/05.

Yes, but send a reminder if it is not received in time 21

Submitted with a reminder draft. 16/6/05

K.S. 21/8/05.

Draft passed 4. 5.05

To the D.C., No: 969 of the 8.8.05.

Requests him to state what progress has been made in verifying the recoveries & to expedite the submission of a final report in the matter

From the D.C. No: 223 of the 24.8.05.

States that on receipt of a report from the St. Treasury Officer, it will be submitted.

Submitted for orders. 29/8/05 Awaited? 4/9/05

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

MGGPB—20,000—10-11-04.

Serial No.

Letter No. 2792 dated the 6th September 1905 from the Collector Hyderabad.

Submits a statement showing the amounts received in adjustment of the loan granted Rowsahib Ramachandra Rao Jagirdar.

The Collector reports that all the items except 2 items and the one in the above statement tally with the accounts of the Comptroller's office in regard to the loan. Of the two items above excepted one item of Rs. 1500/- pertaining to the Nanjangud Taluk Treasury is wrongly credited under "Special Settlement under Govt. Management" in the Hyderabad Civil Treasury Account. The Comptroller was requested to transfer the amount to the loan account. The other item of Rs. 757-2-2 reported to have already been credited to Nagar's account in the Hyderabad City Treas

NOTE—Contd. sheet.

MGCPB—50,000—17-2-05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 51 of 1897-98

Serial No.

Accounts have been audited in the Cumpliatte & Spices account. The Consequence of these items being brought on to the credit of this account, the Calculation of interest already made in the Cumpliatte & Spices will have to be revised & the balance reduced.

The Dy Commr reports that a reference is made to the Cumpliatte regarding these differences.

A draft to the Dy Commr is put up.

Rule 3 for orders

14/9/05

Draft submitted

14-9-05.

Approved

15-9-1905

15.9.05

To The Dy: Comr of the Mysore District

No H 2057 dt 19/9/05

Requests him to intimate early the correct balance duly verified by the Comptroller

Notes

Note—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—50,000—17-2-05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

R. File No. 51 of 1897-98

(162)

Serial No.

From the Dy: Comr of the Mysore District

No 647 of the 20/12/05

Submits a statement showing the correct balance of loan outstanding against Musaleb Ramarao as arrived at in communication with the Comptroller.

The Dy: Comr states that the figures as now revised show a sum of Rs 16,839-9-7 as outstanding ~~outstanding~~ against Musaleb Ramarao and that they agree with those furnished by the Comptroller, at the close the year 1903-4. The Dy: Comr also reports that the balance has since gone down to Rs 15,221-9-9 as intimated by the Comptroller and that it does not include penal interest as per recent orders of Government. May the Comptroller be referred to on his portion.

This is the final report called for in No H 3095 of the 19/11/04 as per Dewan's orders on the 9th November (above). The question of granting an additional loan to Musaleb Ramarao as recommended by the Dy: Comr in his office No 233 of the 26/9/04 seems now ready for the consideration of Govt. Submitted for orders with a draft.

Asst to Comptroller perused

26/12/05

26/12/05

28.12.05

25-12-05

Orders

Note—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—50,000—17-2-05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 51 of 1897-8

Serial No.

To the Comptr: Rec: 4302 dtd 9-1-06.

Requests him to report if the Dy. Comr's figures now submitted to Govt are correct.

From the Comptr:, Rec: 4289/743 dtd 2-2-1906,

States that the balance of loan account at the close of the year 1904-5 was Rs: 15,221-9-6 & that it tallys with the Dy: Comr's figure.

Submitted for orders.

K.S.
7-2-06.

Attention is solicited to Secretary's note dated 2-11-1904 and Dewan's orders dated 9-11-1904.

submd to Secy for orders.
V
8-2-06.

Submitted to the Dewan Saheb for orders
& 9-2-1906

11227/10-2-06

11471 V 9-2-06

Necessary enquiries should be made as ordered in Govt Order dated 9-11-1904 and a full report submitted to Govt regarding the lands in Bangalore regarding their value & freedom from encumbrances. Attention must be invited to Govt Order

Note—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—20,600—1-11-04.

Serial No.

desirable that the village is not
forfeited should be taken under
the management of the State
Immediate steps must be
obtained if this has been done
& if not desirable that this
may be done at once without
further delay. Chief
Secretary 24.2.06

Draft sub^d
26.2.1906

~~draft sub^d~~ U
26 2 06

K.S.
27/2/06

MV
26.2.06.

draft submitted Dewey
4
28.2.06

Passed
28.2.1906
11
28.2.06

To the Dy Comm^r of the Mysore District
No 5409 dated the 9/3/06

With reference to ~~no~~ the office letter No H 3695
of the 19/11/04 requesting him to take under Govt
management, the Inam Villages mortgaged to Govt
as security for the loan advanced to Mansab Ramrao
requests him to state whether the Villages have been
so brought under Government management and
if not, to do so without further delay and to
with displeasure the omission of the Deputy Comm^r
in not reporting the market-value of the lands

NOTE—Contn. sheet

MGPB—50 000—23.10-05

Serial No.

ri Bellur and the fact of them being free from incumbrances.

From the Deputy Comr of the Mysore District-
no 960 dated the 17/3/06.
States that as per instructions conveyed in no H 3095 of the 11/11/04 the 5 villages were already taken under Government management; that the question of fixing the value of the wet lands at Bannur offered as additional security was deferred pending the decision of Government in re the additional loan applied for and that he has now issued orders to the Amildar to make necessary enquiries and report thereon.
Submitted for orders
ms 22-3-06
22/3/06 Remind & ask him to send a complete report
23.3.1906
23-3-06

Submitted with a draft
ms 7/4/06. Issue memo 8-4-06

Reminder issued - no H 6715 of the 12/4/06
Reply dmy no 4805 of the 14/5/06
From the Dy Comr of the Mysore District
no 1156 of the 11/5/06.
Submits the report of the J. Nanjapur Taluk Amildar

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

stating that in the Village of Bannur, 13 minor Inams Stand in the Khata of Parvathi Bayi, daughter of Bakshi Siva Rao, one of them, in the ~~enjoyment~~ possession and enjoyment of Sitamma, daughter of Mr Raghavachar advocate at Mysore and the remaining 12 lands ~~have been~~ sold to Mr Kanteirava Char, Amildar of Tumkur, that all these lands are valued at £. 7370 and Observe That the Amildar has been asked to submit a further report as to his opinion regarding the Valuation of lands.

The report is still incomplete ~~Any~~ the promised report be awaited.

Submitted for orders. Shall the Dy. Comr. be 16/5/06 asked to ~~report~~ early ~~the~~ report forward early the report of the Amildar re the Valuation of lands. Yes sir 16.5.06

BK

Submitted with a draft. Issue sir 19-5-06

Ls 19/5/06.

If This report was since received & is herewith submitted with the linked file - may the further report promised be awaited?

K.S. 26/11/06.

A Krishna Rao Asst Secy to Govt

Issue draft postponing sir 26-7-06

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No.

From the Deputy Comr, Mysore st:
No: 99 of the 27.7.06.
Reports that the lands referred to by Mr Rama Rao are estimated at Rs: 6000.

This information was Called for in Connx with the additional loan of Rs: 5000 applied for by Mr Imsaheb Rama Rao in 1902. The additional loan was applied for on the Security of these Banner lands. But, as may be seen from the Amildar's remarks, these lands are no longer Mr Rama Rao's, they having been Sold away to others. Neither Mr Rama Rao nor the Dy Comr Seems to have pressed for this fresh loan since october 1904.

Such to be taken under disposal

The other villages Secured by Govt are under the management of Govt since Nov 1904. and the original loan of Rs: 25000 Stood reduced in the Bonds's books to Rs: 15,221-9-0 at the end of 1904-5.

Resubmitted for orders with Govt: ref to the Dewan's orders of the 9.XI.06 & 24.2.06

K.S.
24.11.06

BK
7/12/06

There has been very great delay in the disposal of Mr. Ramarao's request for

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

for an additional loan of Rs 5000 on the Security of his lands in the Bannu Village or for being permitted to withhold the payment of the annual instalments for 3 years, so that he may discharge his other debts. The Consideration of this question has been unnecessarily put off on the score of the existence of some discrepancy between the Dy Commr's & the Comptr's accounts of the loan already Mauled by Mr Donnachan -

As regards his first alternative request, the lands in Bannu offered as Security are reported to be worth about Rs 6000 but the Assistant says that Mr. Donnachan is not the owner of these lands now - the grant of the additional loan on the Security of these lands is therefore out of the question

As regards his second request his mortgaged Villages have since been are now taken under Govt management & the net income is being taken towards the interest & instalment

MGCPB—50,000—17-2-05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 2-2. File No. 51 of 1907-8 C.V.O.

Serial No.

due on acct of the loan - Mr Rama Rau, Rept Contrary to Govt Orders the mortgage property in his own management for a long time & there has already been great delay in the recovery of the loan given him. It is therefore not advisable to permit him to utilize for discharging other debts amounts which shd. be taken in repayment of the Govt loan - He may be informed that his request cannot be granted - Sub.d to Secy

10/12/6

I agree with the Asst Secy Draft accd & submitted to Dewan for orders.

11/12/6

Draft Sub.d for approval.

K.S.

18/12/06

Draft Sub.d to Dewan

30-12-6

Draft approved by Dewan

19/12

3399

19/12

19/1/07

Note—Contn. sheet

MGCPB—50,000-17-2-05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

97 File No. 57 of 190 1897-8.

5

Serial No.

To Mr Ramadas
The Dy Com. Mysore
No. H. 5633-4 of 24.1.07

States that as it is reported that the lands in the Bannur Village offered as security by him do not belong to him, & as there has been great delay in the recovery of the loan already advanced to him, & for regret that they cannot comply with either of his requests

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—40,000—12-5-06

Rev. file No 51 of 97-98

Form B.

List of Letters in the File.

Serial No.	Letter. No.	Letter. Date.	From whom	To whom
1	4183	28/4/92	Sri. Rama Rao Esqr	Secy
2	698.	16.5.92	— do —	— do —
3	4100	4.6.92	Secy	D.C. Mysore
4	515	4.9.92	D.C. Mysore	Secy
5	33941	6.6.93	Secy	D.C. Mysore
6	1505	24.6.93	D.C. Mysore	Secy
7	74	19.7.93	— do —	do
8			Office Note	
9	176-8	10.11.93	Secy	D.C. Mysore
10	394	25.9.97	D.C. Mysore	Secy
11	3866-7	29.10.97	Proceedings	
12	3228	16.5.02	Sri. Rama Rao Esqr	Secy
13	1968	16.6.02	Secy	D.C. Mysore
14	3544	27.6.02	Sri Rama Rao Esqr	Secy
15	241	28.7.02	Secy	D.C. Mysore
16	206	19.6.02	Sri. Rama Rao Esqr	Secy
17	1276	24.9.02	Secy	D.C. Mysore
18	1674	18.10.02	— do —	do
19	994	10.10.02	Sri. Rama Rao Esqr	Secy

* relates to loan to Muniadeva Mrs. Jayalinder

Serial No.	Letter. No.	Letter. Date.	From whom	To whom
20	2188 R751-97	18.11.02	Secretary	Dy Com^r Mysore
21	Do	4.12.02	— do —	— do —
22	Do	10.12.02	Dy Com^r Mysore Dt.	Secretary
23	R2885 R751-97	24.1.03	Secretary	Dy Com^r Mysore Dt.
24	660	3rd 2.03	Dy Com^r Mysore Dt.	Secretary
25	R.1645	31.3.03	Secretary	Dy Com^r Mysore Dt.
26	R.802 R.751-97-98	3-9-03	do —	do
~~27~~				
~~28~~				
27	233	26-9-04	D/C Mysore	Secy Govt L & Rev Dept
28	3016	17-11-04	~~Jagirdar Raod~~ Secy to Govt	Jagirdhar Rama Rao
29	3095	19-11-04	~~D/C Mysore~~ G. Secy	G.R.D. D/C Mysore
30	754	4-3-05	D/C Mysore	Gen Secy
31	292	8-9-05	D/C Mysore	Do
31	2057	19-9-05	D/C Mysore	Do
32	8092	25-5-06	D/C Mysore	Do
33	647	20-12-05	~~Do~~	Do
34	4302	9-1-06	~~Comptroller~~ Dy Secy	Comptroller
35	4289	2-2-06	Comptroller	Secy
36	8409	9-3-06	Secy	D/C Mysore
37	960	19-3-06	D/C Mysore	Secy
38	6715	12-4-06	Secy	D/C Mysore
39	1156	12-5-06	D/C Mysore	G-Secy

30a

22-3 From the DC Pipavav

To the Gen. Secy.

From the Secy -

30b To the DC 949 dt 8-8-05

30c) From the DC 1127 dt 10-6-05

To the Secy.

30d) From the Secy to the DC

8087 dt 26-5-05

40) 1029 dt 26.7.06
From the Secy to the Dy CM, Mizo

41) 99 dt 27-7-06
From the Dy CM Mizoram
to G. Secy —

42) 5833-49 dt 24-1-07 From the
Secy to Smt. Ramakrishnan
V.n

Silver art
Thespesius

(1)

483 R
16-5-92

Respected Sir

9714-91 (1)

The undersigned begs leave to state that in the month of July 1889 the Dewan had instituted proceedings for the restitution of encumbered estates of ancient and respectable families which had rendered meritorious services to the Mysore State. That accordingly he had ordered a loan to be given to the undersigned as early as the months of October 1891. Unfortunately the order is not executed as yet. The undersigned had personally submitted a petition to you along with copies of the important papers relating to this affair. He has been trying with much difficulty to pacify his creditors with the hope of getting the said loan. The unaccountable delay that has happened has given them fresh cause to be very pressing and persecuting. The undersigned honour and good name is now at stake and he hopes that you would kindly graciously succour and help him to keep it unstained. In the circumstances he begs permission to entreat you to send an order to the said effect as soon as possible and oblige

P. T. A.

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

The undersigned begs to
remain Respected Sir,
Your most obedient & humble
servant

28th April 1892
Mysore

To
T. Anandarao Esqre
Chief Secretary
to the Dewan
of Mysore

From
Innasaheb B Ramarao
Son of the late Buckshi Bhimarao
Fort Mysore

(1/a)

40

A statement shewing the debts incurred by the late Bukshi Siva Rao

Rs 6821-1-0 Borrowed from Rama Rao, Lutchman Rao and Siva Rao, the sons of the late Mothikhana Gumasta Ambaje Rao at the rate of 14 annas in the year 1864 and 1865 Corresponding to the Hindu year Raethachi. The bond executed on ordinary Country-paper. Principal Rs 4500. Interest thereon Rs 2321, on the mostgage of the village of Kalahalli.

Rs 6162-8-0 Borrowed from the late Regimentdar Hanumantalas Reference to be formed in an entry in the handwriting of the late Siva Rao himself. After some deductions soon after the death of Regimentdar Hanumantalu the principal amounts to Rs 5000 and the interest Rs 1012-8-0. In the year 1873 Regimentdar Hanumanta Rao's third son Rama Rao filed a suit which

has cost Rs 150. For reference
see the account book and also
a statement in connection with
the above transaction.

Borrowed from Sawkar Rs 3500
Mothikhana Simmuppa. The
interest has been paid from
the salary every month. Subsequently
the principal too has been discharged
Reference to be found in Simmuppa's
household daily account book.

Total Rs. 16483-9-0

Further particulars

1. Bakshi Siva Rao died
in the month of December 1864

2. The foregoing debts have
been discharged by Parvati Ba,
the wife of the late Bakshi
Siva Rao between the years
1869 and 1873 by a loan
borrowed from Mahadevapur
Appu Rao and others.

3. In the year 1874 Parvati.
Bayi on account of the pressing

demand of Appa Rao and others borrowed the amount from regimentadar Lakshmanrao and paid their debts.

4 But in the year 1671

Regimentadar Lakshmanrao demanded his money very urgently so that Parvati Bayi had to borrow from Denachandra son Mr Vardhamana Pandit by the mortgage of her husband Inam villages and pay Lakshmanrao.

Mr. Vardhamana Pandit in his turn grew very pressing and harsh so that Parvati Bayi had to sell her husband's Jahagir to Rezaldar Ramakrao for Rs 16000 and satisfy her Creditors.

Rezaldar Ramakrao

der to buy the said Jahagir had to tack on his own villages so as

to be enabled to raise a loan of Rs 16000 from the said Mothikhana Sawkar Sinnappah. All the papers, documents, references &c in connection with this transaction are at present left with Sawkar Sinnappah for his own satisfaction and your Honour may be convinced of it by personal inspection. Mr Rama Rao himself has had to pay all the interest out of the income of the villages and also under unfavourable circumstances to supply the family bread with it. Moreover he has had to perform the marriages of his sons and daughters for which he had to fall back upon the income of his jahagir. These and other unavoidable and yet reasonable expenses cannot fail to convince your Honour how the debt has grown in Rama Rao's own hands.

15th October 1891

I impose.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್/ಕಾಮ/ಟೆಂಡರ್/ಎಸ್‌ಎಚ್‌ಡಿಪಿ/ಪ್ಯಾಕೇಜ್-೧೨/೨೦೨೫-೨೬/೧೨೩೪

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಮೂಲಸೌಕರ್ಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತ (ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್)
ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ವಿಭಾಗ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೦೩.೦೪.೨೦೨೫

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಸೂಚನೆ

ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್ ಮೂಲಕ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಹೆದ್ದಾರಿ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯ ಪ್ಯಾಕೇಜ್-೧೨ರ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಹ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ಮೊಹರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೧. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು: ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೨/೨೦೨೫-೨೬ರ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಂಪಾಪುರ ಕ್ರಾಸ್‌ನಿಂದ ಮಲ್ಲಿಗೆಹಳ್ಳಿ ಮಾರ್ಗವಾಗಿ ಸಾಗುವ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಹೆದ್ದಾರಿ-೪೭ರ ೧೮.೪೦ ಕಿ.ಮೀ. ರಿಂದ ೨೬.೭೫ ಕಿ.ಮೀ. ವರೆಗಿನ ರಸ್ತೆಯನ್ನು ಅಗಲೀಕರಣ ಮತ್ತು ಬಲವರ್ಧನೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು.

೨. ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ: ₹ ೧೪,೭೫,೦೦,೦೦೦/- (ಹದಿನಾಲ್ಕು ಕೋಟಿ ಎಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದು ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ)

೩. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅವಧಿ: ೧೮ ತಿಂಗಳುಗಳು

೪. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೦೫.೦೪.೨೦೨೫ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ೧೦.೦೦ ಗಂಟೆಯಿಂದ

೫. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳ ಮಾರಾಟ ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೫.೦೪.೨೦೨೫ ಸಂಜೆ ೫.೦೦ ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ

೬. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಕೆಯ ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಮತ್ತು ಸಮಯ: ೨೬.೦೪.೨೦೨೫ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ೧೨.೦೦ ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ

೭. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ತೆರೆಯುವ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಮತ್ತು ಸಮಯ: ೨೬.೦೪.೨೦೨೫ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ೧೨.೩೦ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ

೮. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳ ಶುಲ್ಕ: ₹ ೫,೦೦೦/- (ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗದ)

೯. ಬಿಡ್ ಭದ್ರತಾ ಠೇವಣಿ: ₹ ೨೯,೫೦,೦೦೦/- (ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೊಂಬತ್ತು ಲಕ್ಷ ಐವತ್ತು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ)

೧೦. ಅರ್ಹತಾ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು: ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಕಳೆದ ಐದು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ₹ ೭.೩೭ ಕೋಟಿ ಮೌಲ್ಯದ ಒಂದೇ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಿರಬೇಕು. ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ನೋಂದಾಯಿತ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಾಗಿರಬೇಕು.

೧೧. ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಮಾಹಿತಿಗಾಗಿ ಸಂಪರ್ಕಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ವಿಳಾಸ: ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು, ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್, ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ವಿಭಾಗ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಸಹಿ/-
ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು
ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್, ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ವಿಭಾಗ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

೪೫೪

(2)F

(46)

PAGE NO. 648
DATE. 4-6-92

Most Honored Sir

In accordance with your Proceedings of June 1887-89 for the purpose of relieving the encumbered estates of old and respectable families which had rendered praise worthy services to the Supreme Government. I personally paid my respects to you and placed before you all the details of the debts. I was thereafter directed by you to go and see the Deputy Commissioner of this District and speak to him about these things And if he was pleased to report favourably of the matter I was favoured with the promise that an order would be sent to him and the required amount of money would be paid
I saw the Deputy Commissioner twice or thrice and showed him all the papers and gave him every satisfaction in accordance with your commanded. I waited very anxiously for your order but unfortunately it never came

Now my creditors are growing very cruel and insolent. They want to bring me into disgrace and Contempt. I can hardly stay in this place Unless I give some trouble and entreat you even to cause annoyance I have no means of making my difficulty understood and I hope that you will pardon me.

P. T. O.

I look upon you as the saviour of myself and family and beg you prayerfully to save my honor and family at this crisis by sending the orders as soon as possible With my best prayers for your long life and family

I have the honor to remain
Most honored Sir
Your humble servant

16th May 1892
Mysore

To
K. Seshadri Iyer Esq Ba. Bl. C.S.I.
The Dewan of Mysore

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From (3)

To
The Deputy Comr.
Mysore District

Dated 4 June 92

Despatched

Received

613

Enclosures No. 3.

General No. 4100 Ro
P No. 113.

Has the honor to forward, copy Petition the accompanying
Regd Nos 4833 & 4898 of 28-4-92 & 2-5-92 respectively and a statement of debts sent from Ismaeib Rama Row applying for a loan, and to request that a full enquiry may be made either by himself or by an assistant Comr into the debts left by Siva Row and how they have been discharged by his Widow. How her own debts arose from time to time and how they have been finally discharged. a Statement with dates, amounts

Names of payers & payees
&c with Vouchers should
be submitted as soon as
possible & at any rate by date

By order

Chief Secretary

Camp Apache

Issue Rec to Dept at once

2 If will find the Revenue record in Lakeside case, probably in Summons file. If can't be had issue it can follow -

3 Print at Leonard Rep early -

(The Apache Country, in Rec & Summons case is to issue clerical labor & to have a few Spare Recs after the Rec per the order recently published in full in the Monthly Vol - Dept Supt to issue in abstract there) Stiles 17/6/93

Pet: F. 152-92

(4)

(General Serial No. 30)

OFFICE (illegible)
2730
8-9-92
Pet 131

1892

(46)

824

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated

Despatched 4th September 1892.

Received

Enclosures No. Papers as per
Margin

General No.

No. 575.

Application for a loan of
Rs: 22,000/.
Applicant - Musaib
Ramarao Jahagir-
dar of Kanenur &c:
Security - Raktakodige
Inam villages of -
+1. Madyur
× 2. Kalkalli
× 3. Hatvala
4. Kanénur
5. Mandanahalli.

Gross income = 4099
Deduct Deva-
-daya &c: - 1748
Net income - 2350.

How the loan is to be re-
paid -
Out of the net
annual income -
amount to be ap-
-propriated on account
of interest at 5% = 1100
Amount to be
Credited towards Principal 1200

Other encumbrances -
The Applicant has purchased
from his brother Siva Rao's widow
Parvati Bayi her husband's share
in the above Estates for Rs 16,000/-
Date of purchase 20-1-81.
Sivarao died leaving debts
to the amount of Rs 6,483/-

Submitting report on the petition
of Musaib Ram Rao, Jahageerdar
of Kanénur

Petn Br. Note 8/9/92

Laid these before
the Dewan for
orders.

17/10/92

These debts were cleared by Parvati Bayi by raising other loans which were subsisted, paid up when she sold her husband's share to the Applicant. One of the daughters son that disputes the alienation died lately & the other is Mr. Muldoon Krishnaraj son.

6. D'Souza's opinion - The Applicants own Jahagir - yielding a revenue of Rs: 1800 - and his own house in Mysore - worth about Rs: 2500/- are themselves sufficient Security for the loan applied for. †

† They are now hypothecated and the proposal is to redeem them and pledge them to Govt. for the loan the Govt may give to facilitate such redemption -

7. Inquiry as to (1) debts left by Siva Rao, (2) how they have been discharged, (3) how - his widow Parvati Bayi's own debts arose (4) how they have been finally disposed of - is incomplete: owing to Parvati Bayi's absence on pilgrimage.

Submitted to Mr. Chief Secretary -

Mr. & Commissioner (Rev)

6/9/92

(4)

48

No 575 In. file-

Kuppoie Deputy Commissioner's Office
dated Kuppoie 4th Sept 1892

From

A. Rangasami Mengar Esqre
Deputy Commissioner

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your docket No 4100/113 dated 4 June 1892, in re loan applied for by Musalidi Ramathow Jaghirdar of Kanémur &c I have the honor to submit herewith the reports noted in the margin received from the 3rd Special Assistant Commissioner to whom the papers had been referred for a full enquiry into the debts &c of the Jaghirdar's family together with the exhibits marked A, B and C and other papers and the enclosures required with the above as per Tarist. It appears from the report that the petitioner Ramathow's own Jaghir

1x No 60 of 24-6-92
2. No 90 of 2-8-92.

villages and his house in the Fort, Allypoie, are themselves a sufficient security for the loan applied for, and it seems to me that at the worst his brother in Sivathow's Jaghir villages will be liable for the amount of Sivathow's debts paid by his widow and latterly discharged on her account by Ramathow.

2. The enquiry would have been more complete but for the absence of Parvati Boyee (Siva Row's widow) on pilgrimage, but as it is not known when she is to return, I have preferred not to delay the report for her examination.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obed[t] Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Trichinopoly District

Compd:
Wm.

60

Application for the
loan of Rs 22,000/ by
Srinivasa Rama Rao,
Jahagirdar of Kamanur.

Recd 5/12/92

1. Information called
for by the Dewan in
Complaint (vide para 7 of
his Ramaswami letter).

2. Asst. Commr. Lak-
shmi talking kishmakhs
seems to have written
that the title of the
property, on the security
of which the loan is
asked for, was invalid.
This & the
previous papers called
for by the Ch. Secy
are not put up.

3. Copy of the Govt.
Proceedings ordering
that loans may be

advance for
redeeming encumbered
properties, is also
lurking in this file.

30/10/92

Dear Ted-ups.

Ed

(52

English and Vernacular

Papers received with DC's
no 5150 dt 6-9-92
from the Spl Secy
(Parliament) to Govt of
Smt Rama Rao

			Local Fund					
...x.	Cart tax	Total.	Local Fund Amount.	Minor Province	Collection from Pounds	Lodg.	Total.	
"	" " "	64 " "	95 9 11	" " "	" " "	105 15 3	1504 2	
"	31 " "	91 " "	43 15 9	" " "	" " "	30 6 "	554 7	
	12 " "	41 8 "	19 12 4	6 2 5	" " "	24 " "	383 8	
	11 " "	80 " "	65 3 9	15 4 "	" " "	64 12 "	1009 1	
	42 " "	65 " "	47 10 8	21 8 "	" " "	54 6 "	648 7	
"	96 " "	341 8 "	272 4 5	42 14 5	" " "	279 7 3	4099 3	

...r Rama Row Abhimanjee ~~Bahadur~~

(Sarvadhari.)

...me—

| ...nent. | Total. | | | | | | Amarayee. | Mohaturfa. | | | | | |

(54

Précis of papers connected with Jahagirdar Rama Row's application for loan of Rs. 22,000-

---

1. Report No. 60 of $\frac{23^{d}}{24^{th}}$ June 1892 from 3rd General Assistant Commissioner _____ 1
2. Report No. 90 dated 2nd August 1892 ___ 2
3. Canarese memo and copies of two letters
4. received with the Chief Secretary's docket
5. No. $\frac{4100}{113}$ dated 4th June 1892. _____ 3
6. Canarese memo signed by Jahagirdar Rama-Row with English translation. 3
7. Copy of sale deed executed by Parvathi Bayi to Rama Row dated 20th January 1881 with English translation _____ 5
8. Copy of mortgage deed dated 15th October 1881 executed by Rama Row, his son Bheema Row and Jahagir Shirastédar Appoo Row _____ 4
9. Copy of mortgage deed dated 23d. January 1885 executed by Rama-Row and his son Bheema Row _____ 2
10. Exhibit. marked A (Canarese) _____ 1
11. _____ Do. _____ B _____ Do. _____ 1
12. _____ Do. _____ C. _____ Do. _____ 1
Translations to 10, 11 and 12 _____ 3
13. Notice to Rama Row dated 16th June 1892. _____

2d September 1892

M. G. Krishnaiyar
Revdr

No 60

3rd Genl Asst Comrs Office
Mysore 23rd 24th June 1892.
Received 29-6-1892.
Enclosures 1 & 2

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

27 June
Petition of Ramachandra Rao
for Summons
Enclosures 1 & 2
Remarks thereon
A.R.

The 3rd Genl Asst Comr
Mysore D.C.

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Distt

Sir,

I am returning the papers received with your Memo No 518 dated 11th June 1892 asking for enquiry and report, concerning the debt of Ressaldar Rama Rao, alleged to be due to him by Sivaba Rao of the village of Sivaba Rao. The petitioner Ramachandra Rao says that he has written to Mr Mathikanay Pinapah who says that he cannot produce them unless ordered by a Competent Court. I beg to know under what provision he must be summoned to produce the documents concerning the debt. The petitioner has given in his petition that he has relative rights for the debt due by Sivaba Rao and that he is entitled to a share in the debt due by Sivaba Rao's daughter and

and grandson who are now in J.

The late Buckshi Sivarow's widow has gone on pilgrimage to Ramayeswara and the information called for in the Chief Secys Docket cannot be ascertained now

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedt. Servt.

H Mundy

3rd Genl. Asst. Comr.

Ind.

Rec'd No 1137/90
5-8-92
En 13.

From

4 Aug/92

3rd Genl Asst Comm Office
Mysore 2nd August 1892
2

STATE OF MYSORE

The 3rd Genl Asst Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No 770 of the 14th Instant asking me to submit a final report regarding the loan asked for by Moosahib Rama Rao, I have the honor to state as follows.

1. Mr Rama Rao asks for a loan of 22,000/ Rupees on the security of his own Jahagir and that which he has purchased from his brother's widow. These properties would no doubt be a sufficient security for the sum to be advanced.

2 Mr Rama Rao has borrowed 17,000/ Rs from Santhara jah to purchase his late brothers estates, which were encumbered with debts. The petitioner alleges that he purchased these Jahagirs which were given to his Ancestors for meritorious war Services with the sole object of saving them from passing into

into the hands of Strangers. He has contracted another debt of 2300/ Rupees hypothecating his house.

3. It is alleged that the late Bakoli Siva Rao (Rama Rao's brother) had contracted large debts and that his widow Parvati Boyee borrowed from several persons loans on the hypothecation of the villages, from time to time and at last sold his estates to Rama Row, to clear these debts. The Hindu widow is only a limited owner of her husband's property and could not alienate it except under special circumstances. The deceased owners daughter's sons seem to dispute this alienation, and it therefore becomes necessary to enquire into the debts left by him.

4. The Govt want a full enquiry to be made into the debts left by Siva Rao and that a statement should be submitted, showing how the debts of the deceased & his widow arose from time to time with dates, natures of papers and payees and Vouchers &c.

5. - The petitioner has produced 3

documents

document to show the particulars of the debts contracted by Siva Rao which have been marked A. B. & C. Exhibit A evidences a loan of 4500 Rs. obtained by Siva Rao from Anbaji Rao's sons on the security of his Jahagir, in the year Raktakshi (1864-65). Siva Rao did not clear this debt in his lifetime. The entries made in this document show that his widow, made payments every year towards the interest and part of principal money and that this debt was finally discharged by her in the year 1869-70.

6. B. is the copy of the account book kept by Regimentdar Hanumanta Rao. This book shows the transactions between Siva Rao and the Regimentdar. The entries in this book date as far back as the year Virodhikrutu (1851-52). These accounts were settled from time to time and the last entry which is dated 7 Palguna Bahula of Durmati year (1861-62) shows Rupees 4496-4-0 as being due from Siva Rao. But it could not be ascertained when or when this debt was cleared.

7. The other document 'C' is the copy of

of a receipt obtained by Parvati Boyee for Rs. 1012-8-0 being the interest payable by her to Regunnatha Rao's sons for the debt mentioned in para 6 His receipt is dated 3-6-73.

8. Parvati Boyee seems to have cleared the debt of 5000 + odd Rupees which Siva Rao had borrowed from Hanmanta Rao. Mr. Chintamani Rao (Hanmanta Rao's son) also admits that it was Parvati Boyee who cleared the above debt due to his father. The above are the only documents which the Petitioner was able to produce to show the particulars of Siva Rao's debt. It is clear from these, that Parvati Boye cleared one debt of 4 thousand + odd Rs. due by her husband to Ambaji Rao's son's + another of nearly 5000 Rs. due to Hanmanta Rao. The petitioner alleges that Parvati Boyee to clear the above debts contracted successive debts from several persons (such as Appoo Row, Regimentary Lukshuman Row, Dinachan-draya + co.) at different times. He himself is not able to give the particulars of these debts + adds that some

of

of the bonds came to Lakshmana Rao
possession who retained them, without
returning to Parvati Boye. Thus it
will be seen that it is not possible
from the references produced by
petitioner to trace Parvati Boye's own
debts unless she herself is examined.

9. The petitioner wants the loan to
be advanced on the security of his own
Jahagirs (which yield a revenue of 1800 Rs)
& his own house in the Fort worth about
2500 Rupees besides the villages he has
purchased from Parvati Boye.
His own Jahagirs which are now hy-
pothicated to Santaraj Jayal and which
have no other encumbrances on them,
and the house, will I think be a sufficient
security for the sum to be advanced.

10. From the above documents it
is clear that the major portion of the
debt namely Rs 9000 + odds had been
incurred by the late Buchobi. With
regard to the remaining amount it is
impossible to ascertain the exact
information as Parvati Boye is
away on pilgrimage.

11. The time of Parvati Boya's return from Rameswaram is not definitely known and I regret to state that I have not been able to give the information wanted by Govt. & beg to submit the above report, as requested, by you without waiting for Parvati Boya's return -

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt. Servt.
H Mundy
3rd Genl. Asstt. Comr.
Mysore Dt.

comp'd
BM

ಅಂಗಾಂಗ ಹಾಗೂ ಶರೀರದ ಅಂಗವೈಜ್ಞಾನಿಕ ರಚನೆಯ ವಿವರಣೆ

ಉತ್ತರ :- ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಶರೀರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಅಂಗಗಳ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗುವ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯನ್ನು ವಿಕೃತಿ ಎಂದು ಕರೆಯುತ್ತಾರೆ. ವಿಕೃತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಗಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ, ಗಾತ್ರ, ಆಕಾರ, ಸ್ಥಾನ, ಬಣ್ಣ, ಸಂಯೋಜನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದು ಜನ್ಮಜಾತ ಅಥವಾ ಆಕಸ್ಮಿಕವಾಗಿ ಉಂಟಾಗಬಹುದು. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಗಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಅಥವಾ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಬಹುದು. ಅಂಗಗಳ ಗಾತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಅಥವಾ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಬಹುದು. ಅಂಗಗಳ ಆಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯಾಗಬಹುದು. ಅಂಗಗಳ ಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯಾಗಬಹುದು. ಅಂಗಗಳ ಬಣ್ಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯಾಗಬಹುದು. ಅಂಗಗಳ ಸಂಯೋಜನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯಾಗಬಹುದು. ಅಂಗಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯಾಗಬಹುದು.

ಉತ್ತರ :-

Compd.

True Copy

Asstt Commr

64

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. 698

Most Honored Sir,

In accordance with your Proceedings of June 1887-89 for the purpose of relieving the encumbered estates of old and respectable families which had rendered praiseworthy services to the Mysore government I personally paid my respects to you and placed before you all the details of the debts. I was thereafter directed by you to go and see the Deputy Commissioner of this district and speak to him about these things. And if he was pleased to report favourably of the matter I was favoured with the promise that an order would be sent to him and the required amount of money would be paid.

I saw the Deputy Commissioner twice or thrice and showed him all the papers and gave him every satisfaction in accordance with your Commands OK. I waited very anxiously for your order but

but unfortunately it never came.

Now my creditors are growing very cruel and insolent They want to bring me into disgrace and contempt. I can hardly stay in this place Unless I give some trouble and entreat you. even to cause annoyance I have no means of making my difficulty understood and I hope that you will pardon me. I look upon you as the saviour of myself and family and I beg you prayerfully to save my honor and family at this crisis by sending the orders as soon as possible. With my best prayers for your long life and family

I have the honor
to remain most
Honored Sir
your Humble Servant.

(Signed). Ramadhu.

6th May 1892
Mysore.

To. K Sheshadri Iyer Esquire BA. BL &c
Dewan of Mysore

Copy

T Ramachandra Rao
Chief Secretary

N° 483. 6

Respected Sir,

The Undersigned begs leave to state that in the month of July 1889 the Dewan had instituted proceedings for the restitution of encumbered Estates of ancient and respectable families which had rendered meritorious services to the Mysore State. And accordingly he had ordered a loan to be given to the undersigned as early as the month of October 1891 Unfortunately the order is not executed as yet. The undersigned had personally submitted a petition to you along with copies of the important papers relating to this affair. He has been trying with much difficulty to pacify his creditors with the hope of getting the said loan. The unaccountable delay that has happened has given them fresh cause to be very pressing and persecuting. The Undersigned's honor and good name is now at stake and he hopes that you

you would kindly and mercifully succour and help him to keep it sustained. In conclusion he begs permission to entreat you to send in order to the said effect as soon as possible and save him and his family.

The undersigned begs to remain. Respected Sir, your most obedient and humble servant.

(Signed) Rama Rau.

28th April 1892
Mysore

To.
J Ananda Rau Esquire
Chief secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

From Mensaib B Rama Rau
Son of late Bukshi Bhima Rau
Fort Mysore.

Copy

J Ananda Rau

Chief Secretary

٢٠٠٧-٢-٢٨ ——— ٢٠٠٧-٢-٢٨ ———

٢٠٠٧-٢-٢٨ ——— ٢٠٠٧-٢-٢٨ ———

ಕಾಲಮಿತಿ ಮುಗಿದ ನಂತರ ಬಂದ ಟೆಂಡರುಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಸೂಚನೆ

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಪಿಡಬ್ಲ್ಯೂಡಿ/೨೦೨೫/೦೪೭

ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು: ಕಲ್ಲುಕೋಟೆ ಗ್ರಾಮದಿಂದ ಮಾವಿನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಕ್ರಾಸ್‌ವರೆಗೆ ೫.೨ ಕಿ.ಮೀ. ರಸ್ತೆ ಡಾಂಬರೀಕರಣ

ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ: ₹ ೧೮,೫೦,೦೦೦/-

ಧರೋಹರ ಠೇವಣಿ: ₹ ೩೭,೦೦೦/-

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಶುಲ್ಕ: ₹ ೫೦೦/- (ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗದು)

ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಅವಧಿ: ೪೫ ದಿನಗಳು

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ: ೦೧-೦೩-೨೦೨೫ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ೧೦ ಗಂಟೆಯಿಂದ

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಮಾರಾಟ ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೦೮-೦೩-೨೦೨೫ ಸಂಜೆ ೫ ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ತೆರೆಯುವ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೦೯-೦೩-೨೦೨೫ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ೧೧ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವೀಕಾರ ಸ್ಥಳ: ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರ ಕಚೇರಿ, ಪಿಡಬ್ಲ್ಯೂಡಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ, ಕಲ್ಲುಕೋಟೆ

ಅರ್ಹತಾ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು:

೧. ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಐದು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಅನುಭವ ಹೊಂದಿರಬೇಕು.

೨. ಕಳೆದ ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ₹ ೧೦ ಲಕ್ಷ ಮೌಲ್ಯದ ಒಂದು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಿರಬೇಕು.

೩. ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನೋಂದಾಯಿತ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಾಗಿರಬೇಕು.

೪. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಮೊದಲು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿರಬೇಕು.

೫. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಅನ್ನು ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾರಣ ನೀಡದೆ ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸುವ ಹಕ್ಕು ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು
ಪಿಡಬ್ಲ್ಯೂಡಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ, ಕಲ್ಲುಕೋಟೆ

89

Memo

The proceedings of the Durbar of Mysore dated 7-6-89.

3. For the relief of old & respectable of the families of Dewan & Mahadevapur families which have done meritorious services to the Mysore Government, and which have acquired fame under British Government as well and which are now encumbered with heavy debts & who are reduced to advantageous circumstances. Their annual pleasure including gratuities at the rate of 5 P.C per annum.

2. Explanation for the supposed claims of the Dobitra which may stand in the way of the boon being granted

The first grandson, being the son of the late Mahadeva Krishna Row Assistant Commissioner is according to the Hindu Law only heir to the property of the said Krishna Row and has no claim to the property of Siva Row.

The second grandson, the grandson who had given a petition tendering his claims on the property

of said late Siva Rau was borrowing after Parvati Bayi had sent the Mohenty to Rama Rau and the said 2nd grandson is now dead and gone. And so there is no difficulty whatever with regard to the claims.

3. The grant of the said Jahagirs being the reward for victory in a battle fought, as is known to Rajaba- Rodaji, [illegible] Rau and Radhan Rau made the grant of the said lands and Rama Rau divided the Jahagirs for the satisfaction of discharging the debts incurred by the said Jahagirs. As Sahiba continuously has a better claim than the deceased Siva Rau's own brother, Radha Rau

5. The debts which Siva Rau had incurred at the time of his death

682 Borrowed from the late Mathikana Guna

Rs 6/62-8-4 Being the amount borrowed from Regimental dues Ramanatha Row Of this something has been remitted by the three sons of Ramanatha Row after his death and the rest has been duly paid to them. As a voucher for this there is a book in Siva Rows own handwriting and a Sunjayishi Pattigai for inspection

Rs 3500-- The amount borrowed from Mothikanna Lakshmanah. The interest on this sum was paid every month from Siva Rows own pay and the principal also has been lately duly paid.

Sivaji Rows bond Rama Row, Lakshman Row and Siva Row, at the rate 12 annas per cent per annum. In the 1864-65 corresponding to the Hindu year Raktakshi Chaitra Sudha 3 the principal Rs 4500 and the interest thereon Rs 2,321 has been paid and the deed for the same on a rough country paper is ready for inspection

Rajyavigada Account

book of the Lowkan and

available for inspection

16483-

The Sarvati Raja

had obtained from debts

borrowed piecemeal from

other people in the village

of the jahagirs. But since

She has redeemed the jahagirs in toto to

Rama Rao for Rs 16000 and has relieved

The pledge and discharges the debts

once for all.

The amount asked by

Rama Rao - The income

and the expenditure of

The (both) Jahagirs

- net income - The

amount payable

towards the principal

and the interest every

year.

The Pandit Survamanya

duly registered in the Sale Registrar's
office. And all the papers relating to
this affair along with these are with
Pudukkottai Tirumayyam

6. Reasons why there need be no fear on the part of the Govt to grant the loan asked for

1. The debt has been incurred long before the Dowlitra opened his eyes by the Siva Row
The Jahagirs have been sold to the present claimant for the discharge of this debt incurred on them

2. The loan of Rs 22,000 which I ask for can be granted on the pledge of the Jahagirs I have purchased, and Rs 11,000 can be given on Jahagirs which are free from encumbrances whatever. The Government may enquire into the claims of Dowlitra or any other body may be established to the Jahagirs which I have purchased. Even supposing that case Siva Row's debt of 16,000 Rs may be discharged by means of the Jahagirs I have purchased. The Government will deduct the Rs 16,000 it has advanced on them, from the Dowlitra's principal interest his grandfather Siva Row's debt. In the meanwhile Siva Row's debt has been cleared out of the Dowlitra's money, Government will be pleased to give me any balance that may remain from

Of the following villages: 1 Kadalkatte
2 Kallahalli 3 Hatwalla 4 Kandemun
5 Mandahalli per annum Rs 4099.

Out of this Rs 1948 have to be deducted
for Devadaya & Brahmadaya Lands &
other Lands of Saints, The Net annual
income being Rs 2350 Since the Survey
Settlement has not been introduced into
these villages there is a fair chance of increa
in the income.

2. Rama Rao asks for a loan of Rs 22,00
out of the net annual income of Rs 2,350
Rs Rs Rs could be paid towards the
interest at the rate of 5 P.C. per annum
and a sum of Rs 1,200 could be paid towards
the liquidation of the principal. And the
interest is considerably reduced year by year
the amount of the sum that could be
paid towards the principal is likewise
increased. A Statement showing the amount
of the expenditure and the net income
The Jahagirs has been forwarded herewith

The documents
and deed
The deed of Kallahalli
Parivati Boya
The deed of pledge of the
Jahagirs for a loan from
Kodikanna Timmappa
have been both duly

money. If even then something yet remains
to be paid for Siva Rao's debt then the remainder
may be realised from the income of my
own jahagirs And when both the dues on
my own jahagirs and on that of Siva Rao
have been fully paid to the Government
the Government will be pleased to hand
over my own jahagirs to me if the Subhedar
Soubdhra proves so powerful.

7. Siva Rao's wife Parvati Bayi is now
away on a Pilgrimage to Rameswaram.

ه ه ه

ကျွန်တော်တို့ ကျောင်းသားများ ဘာသာရေးကိစ္စ ဆောင်ရွက်ခွင့်ရရှိရေး ကျောင်းသားသမဂ္ဂ ဆောင်ရွက်ခဲ့ပုံ

Copy to Respected

M/s Krishnaiyan
Non-Shareholder.

2-7-92.

No 5

Petition

Given from the Kingdom Bichoor

Received on 19th January 1881

B. Krishna Iyengar Dewan

Ghorpade Bayi Parvati Bayi & Lakshmi

Prisoner aged 42 and also the wife of the late

Buckshi Bin Ror to Rama Ror late Resident

aged by the Grace of the said Rama Ror on

the 20th January 1881 On account of the

debt incurred by my husband and daughter

on account of the marriage of my daughter

I commenced on the 16th January Rs 12 paid

today 1/4 50 Rs in all Rs 1/16 and from you

And for this sum I have sold the use and

right of Jahagir which fell to the share of

my husband out of the total Jahagir granted

to my father-in-Law and your father Buck.

Bhima Ror by the late Maha Raja in the

year 1818 together with my property in the

Fort Mysore excepting the Devadaya Br-

-madaya and the grant (Inam) to my

daughters Tulasa Bayi, Amba Bayi and

and Sanad I have sold to you all the produce the increase, claims and the ownership of the properties as shown in the annexed Schedule. I have also handed over to you the possession of them. From this day forth you and your sons, and grandsons in perpetuity are to have the entire management of the estates and are entitled to the profits, Nikshepa Rajatampya and Khadya, Bhoga, Parivartana of the said estates. I have given into your hands the order directing Sirastedar Shamu Ron to give into your all the records relating to the said estates and to be under your directions and to accept your positive orders. I shall give to your hands all the documents relating to the above affair after paying my creditors within 15 days from this date with a list of the documents and papers pertaining to the villages with your brother the late Regimentdar Lakshman Ron and you already got it from him. Given by my own free will and consent.

(Sd) Parvati Bayi
(in Kannada)

Witnesses.

Rama Ron Bhimaji Lakkavalli.
(in Kannada)

Lok Khana Bhima Row (in Hindi)
Bagale Lakshmi Row do
Shama Row Sirasteder E. Jahagirdar
Chintamani Row Kaimakaji do.
Bikkalam drawn with the consent of
both parties by Sirastedar Appa Row of
Mahadevapur.

Presented by the undersigned in the house of
Lakshmi Bika Row for registration at 11 A.M.
on the 8th January 1881.

Sd/ Rama Row Late Resaldar Son of
Bukshi Bhima Row residing [illegible]

The signature of Rama Row being in Kannada.
The undersigned attests to the fact of the deed
having been written and signed by the said person
residing

Sd/ Parvati Roy
(in Kannada)

The above signature is that of Parvati Roya
Guardian Resida Bramin residing in Jirgi
Mysore and Army on the Pahadi Khana
and the wife of late Bukshi Lina Row
by me acquainted with the [illegible]
also [illegible] the deed.

Sd/ Ranga Row
Sub Registrar
Sub Registrar

Register No 618. Bk L Vol 28 Pages 27
75-76-77

21-1-81
Sd/- Raiyu Ror
Sub Registrar

Schedule

Showing the boundaries &c. of the Siddapet to be sold by deed of gift to Rama Ror the brother of Siva Ror by Ranti Dorja the wife of Siva Ror for the sum of Rs 1,600 on the 20th January 1881.

1. To the East of Heggadaduwa Kole Paliya Bettakelli Koramarali Malleswarna Bettada & Sarayeda Ranti Kariyagutta Chamalapura ellas. To the West of Heggadaduwa Kole Paliya Kollada Kundi. Mysore Paliya Karokelli Gunnahelli ellas. To the South of Kumbapura ella. To the north of Mysore Paliya Kadoor Ketakhilly ellas. Situated between these boundaries and belonging to Kariyagutta Paliyagara are bladdad with a bariy of 22 Kolu Varaha Kallathilly with a bariy of 20 Kolu Varaha Maddur Gramada Dakkale Thavana Gramada Kadesowdana Kundi.

2. To the west of the ella of Jodi Rampore. To the East of Heggadaduwa Kole Paliya Kallana Kundi ella. Chikkamandi Grama -

To the South of Mysore Taluq Channarayapatna
To the North of the River Kabini Situated within
these boundaries is the Sannanaya Village of
Hebbalu with a barij of 1224 ÷ Varahas

To the East of Hikkal a medina Kolli To
the West of Hodagattahalli Lakshmaniah's
garden. To the South of Sri Narayana Garden.
To the North of Hikkal Medina Kolli Situated
between these boundaries and in the Hobligram
Taluq Kasaba Hobli with a barij of
1100 = Varahas is the Narasalla Adike, Tenginu
Tola

To the East of Muthu Pandith' Khali Jaga
To the West of Ambla Pandith' Khali Jaga
To the South of Annaji Rao's House, To the North
of my own back Yard. East by West 3 Yards
North by South 2 Yards. Total 6 Sq. Yds. is
the waste land comprised within these boundaries
and situated in the Fort Mysore

To the East of Ramaswamy's Kaluve, To the
West Holakegowdas Benjar Gadde. To the South
of the Road leading to Ramaswamy's House
and Fakir Linga's Pandu. To the North of
Seeve's Hola situated between these boundaries
and with a barij of 2811 = Varahas is the
Karanji Goode Gadde.

To The above mentioned Sannanaya
Inspector

Compared
by
10-7-92

Sd/ Parvati Boyi
(in Kannada)
Copy to be filed
M. G. Krishnaiengar
Rev Sirastedar

Yours sincerely,

T 100

ગણિત ૧૦ ધોરણ ૧૦ ગણિત ૧૦ ધોરણ ૧૦ ગણિત ૧૦ ધોરણ ૧૦

ကျွန်တော်တို့ ကျောင်းသားများ ဘဝတွင် ဆရာ ဆရာမများ၏ ကျေးဇူးကို ဘယ်တော့မှ မေ့မရပါ။

۱۸-۱۱-۱۸

۲۰۲۰-۹-۰۱ ——— ۲۰۲۰-۹-۰۱

Rs. 1000

Stamp paper for 130 Rs has already in the Treasury so
credit for the difference Rs 70 paper has been given
to Buckshi Bhumia Rau's son Ramakante
From the Serpore treasury 15th October 1881 E. C.
Shamia Rau Pillay Treasury officer Sayee
B Krishnamurti Treasurer

The pledge deed written and given by Swamia
Desastha Brahmin aged 47 years and Gomas
in the Taluk of Jaipur the late Desastha Rama
Rau son of Buckshi Bhumia Rau and his son
Bhumia Rau aged 22 years a private gentleman
and the same caste by occupation Basawa Rau
aged 34 years son of Seetharama Rau Hebbar
Devapur Jahagir Seeneradar Appu Rau to
Santharajeyya aged 27 years of Jain caste an
living by trade and residing at Lashkar Indian
one of whom is a Lawful Swamiappa Hebbar as
follows.

In order to pay for the purchase of Sthiravanam
villages allotted to the share of Parvatabayamma
in the 20th January 1881 we have this day bor-
rowed from you Rs 17000 We have agreed to
pay interest thereon at the rate of 12 ans 6 pies
1 C per mensem. The villages pledged as secu-
rity for this debt are the Sriranganayakapura
ages that are in the possession and in the use
of the (1) Ramarau out of the Jahagires grant
ed to Buckshi Bhumia Rau in the year 1818

14th October according to the Maharaja's Sanad
and which are fully detailed in the annexed
Schedule and which belong to the Estate of Jaya
Jan Pool viz (1) Kemaimur with a bana of 8 (V)
26 Varahas (2) Gramdeshabalu with a bana of
230 Varahas and the villages belonging to
Parvah Baji and the possessions and rights
of the said (1) Nemalan viz (3) Madur with
Vakkala Atharwana Parada Kadan Gundu
Hundi with a bana of 322 (V) Varahas (4)
Kallahalli with a bana of 226 (V) Varahas (5)
Hatvala with a bana of 322 (V) Varahas (6)
Devasamudra Pandyfela with a bana of 98 (V)
Varahas (8) Areecut Gudeu with a bana of
2 (V) Varahas (7) A waste ground in the Fort of
Seringapatam called Appu Rau's Peethuse waste land
situated in Basava Nichola Mupire.
We have pledged these estates and all the
Revenues and rights on them. We shall pay Peishcush
every year the interest on the above principal
the above rate of interest and obtain receipts for
such payments. We shall pay the principal
after 5 years from this date. If we fail to pay
the interest regularly every year then from the
date on which we fail to pay on the interest
we shall all the above mentioned estates into
your hands for Siddha Bhogya for your enjoy
ment and use. We shall give for your hands

all the papers bearing on this transaction and obtain a receipt from you for the same

Sd Ramalan
for Bhumalan
Sd Appulan

Witnesses:

1. Purushyapa Nagakumara
1. Rama Rau Bhumiapi Lukkumalle (Thamboo)

Presented at the Jaffna Sub Registrar's Office by the undersigned at 12 o'clock noon 2 Nov 1881.

Sd Ramalan (Thamboo).

Late Resident & Sarvomanyadar Residing in the Fort Jaffna. Smartha Devasthana Brahmin. Son of Bucksha Bhumalan

The undersigned do hereby declare that they have read over and understood this deed

Sd Rama Rau (Thamboo)

Son of Bucksha Bhumalan, late Resident Smartha Devasthana Brahmin residing in the Fort Jaffna

2.

Sd Bhumalan

Appointed Surveyor Son of the above

Sd Appu Rau Sc

Residing at Kasavanadukkala Smartha Devasthana Brahmin Son of Seekara Rau & Seenatheder of Jaffna deceased.

The undersigned is acquainted with the
executant personally
Sd/- Rungappa
Sub Registrar.
(in English).
2-11-81.

Registered No 4664. BKT. Vol 30 pages 494-95-96.
97-98-99-500 —
Fee received 39-4-
18-11-81.
Sd/- Rungappa
Sub Registrar
(Seal)
(English)

2 —

Schedule

Showing the detail Statement of the Estate for the sum of 12000 Rs by Benakanahalli Cable Readers his own Bhima Rau and Appa Rau to Sunthakanajappa son of Sowkar Mopikhanna Quinnappa

5. Belonging to Ranganath Inam

(1) To the east of Haggunadevanakota Palya Battahalli, Hora Marali Mallaswamy battadu Sarpada Mantu Kayam Sutta Chennalapura ellas - To the west of Heggana devanakota Palya Holada kunidi Mupa - Gowda Harohalli, Gunchahalli ellas - To the South of Hampapurella - To the North of

9 Total Mesars Irine estates
Sd Remanan
Sd Bhuvanan
Sd Appukuttan Sr.
} Undivn

Cmpd
HS.
10-7-92

Copy to be filed
M. G. Krishnamurthy
Rev Sh

Impure Talav Kattakalle ellas - Situated
between ellas are Iradaur bella bang of
2 Lotte Varahas Kallukalli with a bang of
100 Varahas and Iradaur Premalle
Dukkalu Attara Kalla Gundua
Hundi.

1) Lotte west of Jodi Nempur
Lotte east of Heggadadevana Kote Keelana Hundi
Lotte east of Heggadadevana Kote - Lotte South of
ur Chikkanayakanahalli - Lotte north of
Impure Talav Channai halli - Lotte north of
the River Kapila - Situated between these
boundaries is the Sarvamanya village of
Kattuvalu with a bang of 1224 Varahas

1) Lotte east of Kempegowd Talav Madamakalli
Lotte - Lotte South of the River Kapila - Lotte
West of Kempegowd Talav Kattakalle ella - Lotte
the north of Jakkanna Kappusoge - Situated
between these boundaries with a bang of 80 Va-
rahas is the village of Kanaiyanapura

1) Lotte South of Impure Talav Gopiya Gaudana
ella - Lotte west of Channakalle ella - Lotte of
the Lande - Lotte east of Heggadadevanakotta
Talav Kolaga Hundi ella and Impure Talav
Kala Gaudana Hundi ella - Situated between
these boundaries with a bang of 15 Varaha
the village of Hundarabalu

2) Lotte Bhagavan Talav - Bommur Kasaba
(1) Lotte east of Hikkadadevana Kote

2 Dothe west of Kothandhalli Lakshmayya's [illegible]
2 Dothe South of Kuruvarajus Garden 2 Dothe
North of Hikkadamadu Kalli Sahukar's house
in these boundaries is the area of 1 Mow = Varahas is the Adikarampalem
(1). 2 Dothe east of Ramaswamy Kaluva - 2 Dothe
west of Kolaka Gundaiah Bangaru Sadda
2 Dothe south of the road leading to Kanchanapalli Kaluva & Jakka Lingama Pamidi
2 Dothe North of Hosavokkala Boya holai Sh-
uated 2 Dothe these boundaries is the land
of 1 Mow = varahas is the Karanam Gudda
Gudem
2. Dothe city of Anjore
(1) Dothe east of Kuppa Pandu's Khadijapu
Dothe west of Kuppa Pandu's Khadijapu 2 Dothe
South of Anjaneyulu's house - 2 Dothe North of
Pariveshi Bairi's house - East by West 3 yds
North by South 2 yds. Containg 6 Square yds
is the Khadijapu
(1) Basava Mohala. 2 Dothe east of Lala
Sahab's house - 2 Dothe west of the road
the South of Guruba Hechappa's house -
the North of Subbalal Lavakar's house East
by West 8 Gaukanas North by South 5
Gaukanas in all 40 Gaukanas is the
Khadijapu

Copy Rejected

Mr. Krishnaiyer
Advocate

10-7-92

No. 5232

No 12

To Bisakkhi Bhimia Rowthan Rama Row and his son Bhima Row

Chelumraya Letty (Vendor)

Mortgage deed executed by Immaghida Rama Row residing in the Fort of Jaffnapatam Sinnatamby Devadatta Brahmin aged about 50 years and by his son Bhima Row about 25 years of age residing in the said Fort of Jaffnapatam jointly by means of landed property Muttucumaru Modikanna Timmappahl son of Annayappah of Jain caste and residing in Lushkar Mohalla on the 23rd of January 1885 In order to meet the demands of other creditors he has borrowed from you Rs 2300 at the rate Re 1 P.c. per mensem and for the security of which he has pledged and hereby conveying the following boundaries and area with the wells and open spaces included therein. To the West of Ramayal Rama Row's and Chandran Shastarayar's house To the East of Bisakkhi Siva Row's house to the North of Kuppa Pandithe backyard and to the North of the road. East and West dimensions 3½ Ankanies. North and South

both dimensions 14 Ankanwas, Square
Ankanwas sq. which hair house mortgage and
bound with the plank. We shall pay the
interest on the above amount at the said
rate every month and the principal
in a year and half. We shall see the amounts
we pay entered in the document itself and or
obtain separate receipts for them. If we
fail to fulfil any part of the agreement
you are at liberty to realise the outstanding
money, on the said plot of land or other property
immediately.

Sd/ Rama Ror Bhimaji
(in Hindi)
Sd/ Bhima Ror Ramaji
(in Hindi)

Witnesses
1 Khalumal Linsapkar
2 Gowli Angadi Hari Shinnival

Received by the undersigned in the
Court of Tumkur Registrar at 3 P.M. on
Sd/ Rama Ror Bhimaji
(in Hindi)
Sd/ Bhima Ror Ramaji
(in Hindi)

The undersigned attest to the fact of
their having written and given this
document
Sd/ Rama Ror Bhimaji
(in Hindi)
Sd/ Bhima Ror Ramaji
(in Hindi)

The undersigned is acquainted with the persons who have written and delivered the deed

Tirumala Chetty
Sub Registrar

24-1-85

Tirumala Chetty
S.R.

Regd as No 816 Bk 1. Vol 46 pages 330, 331 332 and 333

Fees namely Rs 7 have been received

Sd/ Tirumala Chetty
Sub Registrar

Compd

do
10-7-92

Copy to be filed

Sd/ M. G. Krishna Iyengar
Rev. Shirastedar

Exhibit A

ကုမ္ပဏီ၏ ဒုတိယသုံးလပတ်အတွင်း ရောင်းချခဲ့သော ကုန်ပစ္စည်းများ ပြန်လာခြင်း ၃၀၀ ကျပ်

Exhibit
B.

117

Exhibit C.

120

12-7-92

Srigauraja.

12 2

The Tamasuk which Siva Ram Bhuiyan executed in favour of the Rajaja Rajkishore Bhuiyan-nak Lakhmanaban and Siva Ram on Rakhakshi Samvat 2 Chaitra Sudda 2 aduga.

Plaintiff borrowed from you on account of our need Rs 4500 (four thousand five hundred) the rate of interest 1 annas per mensem per hundred which shall punctually pay the interest thereon once in every twelve months and the principal of which made in the said Tamasuk. As security for the principal I have deposited with you the Sanad of the grant by the Maharaja in Hindi characters duly sealed the value of the ground being (Rs 8 V u g u =) varahas. After paying the interest and the principal shall take back both the Tamasuk and the Sanad

Witnesses.
Ramakan Lakhmalli.
Sumakan Basundahalli.
Bikakan Jagannath Ram Hanagal

The amount paid towards the above at various times.

400. Krodhana. Chaitra bahula 10.

72-8- Magha bahula 4

272-8 Hetibadya Ashadha Suddha 5

100. Ashadha Bahula 13

100. Kartika bahula 30

250 Prabhava Vaisakha Suddha 12

222-8 Kartika bahula 13.

472-8 Vibhava. Chaitra Suddha 3

600 Bhadra

500. Aswija 15

400 Kartika Bahula 30

500 Margasira Suddha 11

2000. Deducting 2000 Rs

-pal 4500. The following payment

Remainder 2500 has been made thus.

800 Vibhava — Pushya Suddha 5

600 Phalguna bahula 9

46-4. Sukla- Chaitra Suddha 12

40. Sravana Bahula 7

20. Aswija Suddha 12

Remainder on the Principal being

Rs 1000

On the 10th November 1869 I have taken the Samadhi account for my perusal and I shall pay the remainder shortly & Inform.

In Sikkim Gangtok Sudhir Rs 8 remainder 1000 Rs for the principal and Rs 85 for the interest have been paid, Interest being included (irrecoverable) —

Sd Subbaiah Mudaliar

In Sikkim Gangtok Sudhir 15 everything with reference to the above document both Principal and interest has been duly paid —

Charged Sd Ramakan Mudaliar
Lakshmana Kan Mudaliar

The said document being entirely in Madras, the payment remarks are partly in Madras and partly in Maharastra

Sd Ramakan Mudaliar

Completed.

Sd/- Krishnaswamy

Rev. Sh

I have Compared
Sd Ramakan
Accountant

12-7-92

Accept of the Samajahe book of Regiment der Hanumantha Rau
From Vizagapatam To Rangoon Pleasure Baluka 30.

Rs.
1270. Shri Devkaran Subbasetti for the year
- Charge of Sataladi.

8.5 Price of a bullock coach

9.3 for Salabar Padaka

31 Price for Chintz Cloth

38 two Mudda pieces for cloths.

3-10 for a box

5 12 Apples.

for 2 Chapeople

2 on one occasion.

20

50. Chantra Nidhi 12.

2. for Mubadla from Ramakan

6-8 Mugini for earrings

15 for a coach

10 for carriage repairs

4

10 Mubadla for Carriage man

16

26. for bullocks

27-14 for Kanatto.

Ready money.

24-" Present.

25- for cloths.

10. Interest for Subbasetti.

for cloths

4-8 Travelling & fare for Dandia

1-8 Bottle of Rose water.

Rs.		
100	for a bangy.	
18	Imbadla for the Room	12
15.	expense for going to Mysore	2
1.	Imbadla for the Peishker	3
10	For Subbaraya's Wife	-8-08
2-4	for Rose water	3-88
4-8-11	Imbadla for Sirdar	
220	Imbadla in Jastamasa	
106.	for Gold & Pearls	
60	Ready money in Imbadla	
4-12	for Clothes	
2-11	for rent	
5	for Palanquin	
15.	[illegible]	
4	for ceremony in Mysore (Kalayukta)	
25	in the month of Bhadrapada	
164.	for 8 diamonds	
10	Jangasera in Mysore	
10	for Chavalada Harder	
62	for Pala	
14.	for Panjara	
11-15-11	for Jhula	
243-9	for a Necklace	
48-11	for Palisfield	
3884-5-11	for the Satisfaction of Requiems of Hammiantha Rau Anna	

Rs for
600 for the purchase of a horse
85 for Bullock
15 Ready money
3 for Kurana
80-8- for a silk
88-3 for Broad cloth
20- ready money
5. "
2. for Kalavadiya

748-11

For the payment of the above

for purchase of an anchin
12-9-- Thro Sir Pesthan Kesava Rau
21-8 Ready money in Jupore
100. for Resaldar Bakindin Khan
100 for Resdar Hanumantha Reddy
150
600. Payment in all 484-11-"
Amount due 265-10-"

made over for payment to Resdar Hanu-
-mentha Rau Bhuda
Meanwhile given in all 4148-15-"
payment for the above

for Emerald ring.
80
73-8- to Peshdar Rajappah for Jewels
80 ready money.

Rs:

10-4 for Venkanna

15 given at Rangangudi

at Sirpore

27 do

5 for Plug & Chain

16 for silk cloth

50-12 thro Chittambara Rau

80 to Balambhatte

65 for Subbarattu

250 for Bili Pushya Bahula 11

15 for Hubbali Hanadappa

550 bill Graphite Bahula 11

90 Phalguna Siddhas at Dunkur

11 for Towels

17 for Sandalwood &c

60 Ready money Phalguna

80 for Kantiu Roi Hanma.

140 ready money

5 for Friend Chittambara Rau

4 present to Sadasivaya

30 to Lokkachar's son

85 in Phalguna.

300 for a Black horse

15-8 for Velvet.

11-10 for Silver Couch

14- for Paccha kurpura.

2. to Rachapatatri.

89. clothes bought at Dunkur

300 thro Samalrau

18-3 ready money.

Rs.	
14	Gardener Channabasappa
30	—"— for document
100	Sadasiva Rau's document
2700-15-"	
	Remainder 1448-
	Other ornaments
	for two bowls
70	for earrings
325	for necklace
144	for white blankets
18-8-	for bales of fare
153-8	
711-"-"	Payments
2159-"-"	Payments towards the above
150	for apsny
25	for Velet muzzle cloth
27-12	for Srinivasa Rau
100	for Salpoor Hotel
20	thro Bhupa
215	Paid on marriage and mourning
587-12	Remainder 1621-4-"
3500	for document in the year Kalayukta Vyatikramasa.

Payment towards the above.

325 " Panigalu - Bhattarapadu

Rs. 500 Panigala Singha

~~825~~ Remainder of the above 2675

1000 additional Rs. 28

Total

~~5296-4-11~~ 5296-4-11

~~Payment~~ towards the above

800 In Original Palguna Bahula 7.

on Regimental Lubbhanna Ramchand

Sd Rama Ram Pingap.

Cmpd.
Rama Ram
Accountt
12-7-92.

copy to be filed.
Maj. Krishnamachar
Rev Dr

A Receipt for the first payment of 5000
Rs and the interest thereon at various times by
Parvatibayi to Regimental Hanmanth Ram
ans: Chintamani Ram. Krishnakanam
Ram.
The interest for three years viz from Feb 6.
1869 — Feb 5. 1872 at the rate of 9 annas
p. c per mensem being Rs 1012-8-11 is deve
by paid thus —
687-8-11 Paid to Krishnakam
350-11 —— Chintamani Ram
1037-8-11

afsm
25 on 1873 date illegible

8-5-4
8-6-4
8-6-4

Chinthamani
Krishnaswami
Ramaswami

1012-8

There is a statement to the effect that
Rs 8-5-4 towards the remainder of the current
interest have been received by me with which
charges

Sd Ramaswami Ayyangar
Sd Ramaswami Bhupathi

Compd
Ramaswami
Accountant.
12-7-92.

Copy to be filed
Mudg. Krishnamurthy
Rev. Sh

13

100

3RD GENERAL ASST. COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT.
1ST CLASS MAGISTRATE.

By Order

S. Rungaswamy

Asst Moonshi

16-6-92

...y the revenue of Kamanur ~~Hobli~~ Jaghir of ...

8, 1869.

151

Jama bundi collection for the year 1888-89

Names of villages.	Area of the villages. Total Area.	Area of Uncultivable waste.	Area of cultivable land.	Land Rent. Dry land. Area of ...	Assessment.	Are...
	K K P	K K P	K K P	K K P	Rs As P	K K
annenur.	122 2 6	8 1 "	114 1 6	72 " 5 3/4	1211 11 7	"
Hawala.	58 12 4	4 15 "	53 17 4	31 19 7 3/4	381 11 3	"
Vandanahalli.	40 10 8	3 7 4	37 3 4	21 5 9	286 13 7	
Cadolur.	83 10 5	10 1 "	73 9 5	43 7 4	647 8 1	
Clahalli.	41 10 4	5 1 "	36 9 4	26 9 "	319 " "	
	346 5 11	31 5 4	315 " 7	195 1 10 1/2	2846 12 6	"

35

expenditure for the year Fasli [illegible] 1888-89.

Collection.	Amount.			No.	Expenditure.		Amount.		
annur Kasaba	1504	2	7	1	Allotment for Lepur Sreecanta	rswamy temple	354	8	8
aval	554	7	4	2	Amount to be distributed to	hmins of daughters of the family	750	3	1
dangalli	383	8	8	3	Taluk Local Fund		148	12	7
dur	1009	1	6	4	Establishment charges		494	4	7
Halli	648	1	4	5					
Total Rs:	4099	5	1						
Expenditure	1747	12	11				1747	12	11
Net balance. — Rs.	2351	8	2						

Abstract Stat.

nd enemee	Amarayee	Irohatunga	Local Tr.	Collection compounds	Minor products	Jaundal	Toddi.
1	2	3	4				
11 11 7	26 13 10	64 " "	95 9 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	105 15
11 3	7 6 "	91 " "	43 15 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	30 6
1 7	5 " 4	41 8 "	19 12 4	" " "	6 2 5	" " "	24 " "
1 1	124 12 8	80 " "	65 3 9	" " "	15 4 "	" " "	64 12 "
13 "	139 11 8	65 " "	47 10 8	" " "	21 8 "	" " "	54 6
6 6	303 12 6	341 8 "	272 4 "	" " "	42 14 5	" " "	279 7

815 816 5

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Read Correspondence ending with letter No 5/756 from Dy Comr Nysore Dtd 4 Feb 1892 stating & application from Ex Resaldar Ramaiah for a loan to redeem the encumbrances on his Jaghire

Order.

Camp/NP 339-41 9/6/93.

A loan of Rs 22,000 at 5% interest per annum is sanctioned to be given to Munisahib Rama Rao on the first mortgage of the ~~his~~ Jaghire Villages belonging to him and ~~four~~ of them purchased by him from his brother Siva Rao's widow Parvati Bai. The names & other particulars of the Villages are given in the appended Statt. A. The loan Shd be discharged out of the Net annual Rent of the Villages mortgaged. In calculating the annual Rent, only the Sawarnadigavan Shd be taken into accot, as laid down in Regn Lakshman Rao Case (in para 2 of which is annexed the Statt. A) Thandavaraya Mudaliar 3rd June '89, Govt The Net Annual Rent received Each year, to the extent of Rs 2200, Shd be applied first towards the interest on the loan & the balance towards the reduction of the principal. Shd there be a Surplus after paying Rs 2200 as above, the Same

(Wt. at one - prepared now by)

Copd 14/6

(5)

138

may, at Ramsden's Option, be either paid to him or be applied towards the discharge of the debt.

The Dep. Comr. will take the necessary Conveyances to carry out the transaction sanctioned above, getting Ramsden to execute the mortgage Deed reg.d and seeing himself to the application of the money to the [illegible] by Govt for the full discharge of Existing Encumbrances upon the premises.

6.5.93

7/6/93

To
The Dep.y Commr Dwk.a
The Comptroller
Mr.d Mutsaheb Ramsden

(5)

138

may, at Rainer's Option, be either paid to him or be applied towards the discharge of the debt.

2 The Dep. Comr. will take the necessary measures to carry out the transaction sanctioned above, getting Ramaiya to execute the mortgage Deed reg.d and seeing himself to the application of the money to the amt by Govt for the full discharge of Existg Encumbrances upon the property.

by Cantle

6.5.93

Chdeo

7/6/93

To
The Dep.y Commr Bellary
The Comptroller
Asst Secretary to Govt

GO [illegible] PRESS

A 162 5/a

Statement & accompany the
Aden Cable in Tel No (Cash) 330 41
Dated 6 January 93.

Note

The ~~figures~~ January and other details
stated in Remarks Department keep
the Dy Dr ~~of~~ to have been recently
furnished ~~by another~~ are
not among the papers which the
Dy Dr has sent us - the figures
in the Enquiries stated be taken from
information informally obtained from
Messrs Dyce Verification in
the Dy Dr's Office - the results should be
reported - (In the Abstract Statement fractions
of a Rupee are omitted)

Seen &
Approved by
15/6/93

P78

	Haneymoor	Melhally	Hatval	Maddur	Maudahally	Total
Land Revenue	1211	319	381	659	287	2859
Amrayi -	26	139	7	124	5-	303
Mohtarfa -	64	65-	91	80	41	341
Local Fund.	95-	47	43	65-	19	272
Miscl Profns -	"	21	"	15-	6	42
Toddy -	105-	54	30	64	24	279
Total Receipts	1504	648	554	1009	383	4099

Expenditure

Government dues -	148-	
Establishment -	494-	
Temple Endowments -	354-	
Amnities to Brahmins & female members of the family -	750	
		1747
		2352

Net Income

Note

Revenue occasionally accepts from Assistant Commissioners the Khandanas says he has a claim - Their claim Revenue has not been included in This statement

Aff 2350 in 2nd number

Less amount

Subdivision 204
Andhra Bank 220
Jamboni 644
671½
711½.

142

144 S/C

A Scheme for the relief of encumbered Jaghirs orequally granted in recognition of Valuable Services rendered to the State

I the late Regimentdar Lakamon Roa made a deathbed request that some advance of money might be given to his family without interest on the Security of his Jaghire to clear off Certain encumbrances. The family deserves some help from Government by reason of the eminent Services of its members in recognition of which his Jaghire was granted by His Highness the late Maharaja. Similar help may be extended to other members of the family - i.e to Rama Roa Lakhmen Roa's brother and Rama Roa of Mahadeshpur (Lakmana Roas nephew) and Venkt Grand Son of Rama Roa who was Dewan after Purnaya. The family is an important one not only to Purnaya perhaps and deserves entitled to the Special protection of the Government I do not for a moment recommend that any thing should be done which can be consi[dered]

this - The Evil has existed in all these families more or less, owing to various causes - The yellandoor pasture has been saved by the interference of Sir Mark Cubbon and the recent legislation of your Highness; and if a helping hand be not extended now to the Dewaun and make Dewaun Jaghires (with a warning against future Extravagance) these Jaghires granted in recognition of eminent public services - Spoken of in such high terms by the Duke of Wellington, will soon pass into the hands of Creditors -

II I cannot recommend that public money should be advanced to the Jaghirdars without interest but I would strongly recommend that -

(1) Whatever money is required to discharge legal mortgages on the Jaghires be lent by the State on a reasonable rate of interest, say 5% or 6% -

(2) Till Satisfaction of this debt Government do assume temporary management of the Jaghires and introduce a Survey and Settlement therein leaving Dumya and Batta Lands & houses in the possession

by the money assessment or Sewarna Dayas, the Government pay itself the principal and interest of the money lent.

(4) After full satisfaction of the debt in this manner or by other payments, if any may by the Jaghirdar the Villages be restored to them. and (5) During Government management the Jaghirdar may retain possession of Pranuja and Battaji land, paying on account of them the usual money assessment chargeable on them were they in the occupation of others.

III If these arrangements are agreed to, I would lend them as much as would clear existing encumbrances of a binding and legal character but not exceeding ten times the net annual payment which it is probable Government would receive from the revenues-

IV In the case of Lakshman Rao Jaghire, I don't think the State should give the 20,000 Rupees asked for by them. I would give Rs. 16,000 at 5 or 6% interest and stipulate for a minimum annual repayment of Rupees 1800

V The accompanying Statement shows that the present Sewarna dayam will Completely Cover such annual payment and till Government debts-

y Can get for the Jaghire Pattayi and Rayapa

VI At 5% interest the debt will be discharged in 14½ years and at 6% interest in 13¾ years.

VII As an investment the transaction proposed is most favorable to Government as the security most ample. But I do not of course recommend it merely as an investment.

(Sd.) T. Sheshadri
Dewan

Statement Shewing the annual Income of Rajamundry Lakmanna Rao's Jaghire Villages, Dasapur and Muppa Sole for the year 1887-88.

Sirvaram dayam for 8 Annas	1082-8-1
Land Revenue	688-14-8
Local Fund	181-14-7
Toll on trees or maravale	6-14-10
Mohaturfa	328-8-0
Other minor Contracts such as Flower, Toddy leaves, Manure &c.	76-4-4
	1082-8

LCRE

Land Revenue	390-11-6
Local Fund	25-2-5
Maravali	11-14-8
Mahabarfa Cash	130-0-0
Total Rupees	557-12-4

Total Swamadayam for both the Villages	1640-4-8
Other income excluding Swamadayam	743-0-0

Battayi Paddy	250-0-0
Garden Produce	250-0-0
Toddy Contract	243-0-0
Total Rs.	743-0-0

Of which deduct Expense Local Fund and Gandayam to be paid to the Taluk & Devadayam and Brahmadayam Establishment & other Sundry Repairs &c.	500-0-0
Balance Rs.	243-0-0

Copy.

(Signed) R. Vijayendra Rao
Chief Secretary

Collr

(General Serial No. 30.)

180 (3)

1893 OFFICE ... 15-349 28 JUN 9 G 6646 DEWAN OF ...

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government
of Mysore.

Dated 24th June 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. —

General No.

No. 1505.

Requesting the return of the statement of the debts &c and of the other papers in connection with the loan of Rs. 22000 to be granted to Musahib Rama Rau.

Recd 27/6/93

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—30-1-93.—5,000.

15

No. 1505

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated June 24th 1893.

From

A Rangaswamy Iyengar Esquire B.A.B.L
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore
Bangalore

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings Camp No. 339-41, dated the 6th Instant, in re the loan of Rupees 22,000 to be granted to Moosahi Rama Row, I have the honor to request the return of the statement of the debts &c and of the other enclosures submitted with this office letter No. 515 dated 4th September 1892.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Krishnaiyar
for Deputy Commr
Mysore District

Compd:
Clerk

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.

1574/93
873
R 232.

865

867

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Myrore Distt

To

The Chief Secretary to th
Dewan of My

Dated 19th July 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. two

General No.

No. 74

Requesting that under th
circumstances represented
a further loan of Rs. 300
may be sanctioned to Rá
Rao Jahagirdar of Kanigan
tee Nanjengud Taluk.

Rev. Br.
Mm
22/7

MWH

Jo

The same Comptroller. In RRy Rama
Row Compers 176 8d 10/11/93.

Submitted with office note.
Dy
14/8/93

(50)

No. 74.
Ex. 2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 19th July 1893.

From

A. Rangasamy Iyengar Esqre, B.A, B.L.
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings camp No. 339-41 dated 6th June 1893, authorizing the grant of a loan of Rs 22000/- to musahib Rama Rao, Jahagirdar of Kaniyanur, etc, I have the honor to state that I called upon Rama Rao and his creditor Sahukar Thimmapiya to produce accounts and papers relating to the mortgage of the Jahagir villages with the latter and examined the same. I found that the debts due, the payments made and the balance to be discharged, stood as noted in the annexed statement No. 1. To meet this and the other charges upon the Jahagir villages (vide enclosed statement No. 2), the present loan of Rs 22000/- was evidently insufficient and the same was explained to the Sahukar in view to induce

induce him to remit a portion of the interest. The accounts showed that against the principal amount of Rs 19300/- borrowed on the security of the Jahagir villages and of Rama Rao's house in the Fort of Mysore, Rs 16996-12-9 had been already paid towards interest and that a further sum of Rs 3840/- and odd, was still due on account of the same up to date. The Sahukar after some hesitation agreed to remit Rs 1540/-. I accordingly took a preliminary agreement from Rama Rao and his eldest son and a muchalika from the Sahukar with all the documents and paid him on the spot Rs 21,600/- in full settlement of his dues, as he is the only creditor that had a lien on the villages in question

In regard to the settlement of the claims under decrees etc amounting to about Rs 4255/- I beg to state that the balance left in hand is small being only Rs 400/- and more funds are required. I have therefore to recommend that Government may be

be pleased to sanction a further loan of Rs 3000/- to Rama Rao making a total sum of Rs 25000/-. The uncollected balance from the income of Inam villages, amounts to about Rs 2420/- which on collection may be credited to the debt.

As security for the additional loan, I propose taking the house released by the Sahukkar in mortgage and shall have a formal deed executed on receipt of orders. The house may be valued at more than Rs 3000/-

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

A Rama

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

MM

N°

(7/a) 1100

Principal amount borrowed on 15th October 1881, at Rs 11 - 12 - 6 per cent. per mensem on mortgage of Jahagir villages and of Inam lands in Bannur.	17,000	.	.
Do. Do. Do. borrowed on 22d January 1885 at 1 per cent on the mortgage of the house in Fort.	2,300	.	.
Total amount of principal	19,300	.	.
Interest at the above rates up to 9th July 1893.	20,836	12	9
Total including interest	40,136	12	9.
Deduct interest paid by Rama Rao	16,996	12	9
Balance	23,140	.	
Deduct amount of interest remitted by the Sahukar.	1540	-	
Balance paid and settled	21,600	-	

14 - July 1893

A Rame
Deputy Commissioner,
MM Mysore District.

No. 2

Dues to be paid to daughters of Siva Rao. 1/6

Allowance due to Thulasi Bayi and Amba Bayi for 4 years from Virodhi to Nandana (1892-93) at Rs 204/- and 220/- per annum, respectively.	1697	8	.
Allowance due to Sannamma, 3rd daughter at Rs 220/- per annum for 4 years up to 1892-93.	880	.	.
Amount due to Temples at Debur as follows:- As per decrees . Rs 777-11-4 For 3 years up to 1892-93 - Rs 833-14-6	1,611	9	10
Amount of ready money allowance due to Sri. Kanta Sastry, son of Narasimha sastry of Nelamangala for 1892-93.	65	7	4
Total	4,254	9	2.

2 2

227

19th July 1893

A Rama
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Mysore, 3d. November 1893.

My dear Sir,

I have received no orders on my letter No. 74 dated 19th July last about additional loan to Mr. Sahib Rama Row, and the transaction remains incomplete. In the meantime Rama Row has applied for permission to do the Jémabundi and to collect the rents from the Gaphire villages

paying the Government the instalments as they become due. I beg to know if permission may be granted.

Yours Very Truly
A Rangasamy

3 Nov 93

T. Anand Acharlu Esq
Chief Secretary

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

9

164

619

Read:

Letter no 74 & 19" July 93 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District reporting with reference to Camp No 339-41 & 6" June 93 authorising the grant of a loan of Rs 2200/ to Musahib Rama Row and stating that he has settled Sowcar Thimmapaiya's claim by paying him Rs 2160/ and recommending ~~to~~ a further loan of Rs 3000/ on the Security of Rama Row's house in the fort of Mysore to clear the other

debts under decree
&ca; the total ~~amount~~ sum
of the debt ~~with them~~ will thus amount to
~~to~~ Rs 25:000/

Order thereon: Camp 11th 1768

The grant of the additional
~~amount~~ loan of Rs 3000/
recommended by
the Deputy Com-
-missioner is Sanctioned

Camp
~~draft~~ 10/11

Chief Secretary

~~subd~~
8/11.

To,
The Deputy Comr:
Mysore -
The Comptroller
Mysore Govt:
M R Ry Mesaik Rama Rao

17

1897-98. No. 2394

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
No. 2394
29 SEP. 97
DEWAN'S OFFICE

To Secretary
Submitted for orders.
Attention is respectfully invited to Serial No 7 in which the present claim has been recognized.
HB
14/10/97

H
16/10/97

$210

From

The The Deputy-Commissioner
(10) Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 25th
Despatched 27th } September
Received

Rama Rao's case - This letter is included in the Dy Commr's list - & is necessary the Govt sanction be obtained. Accordingly Payment of

20-10-97

C
In draft
H 20/10/97

Submitted with draft

Ann
21/10/97

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 394

Solicits orders for payment of Rs 880 — to Narayana Rao on behalf of minor heirs of Seetamma, being a portion of the Rs 2500/- loan granted to Rama Rao Jahgirdar of Kanchanpur villages in the Nanjangud Taluk —

Ref.

Rev 29/9/97

29

H 30/9/97

No. 394

Enclosures No.

OFFICE OF THE Dy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated 25 September 189 7.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

In Government Proceedings Camp
No: 339-41 (5) dated 6 June 1893 and No:
176 (9) dated 10 November 1893, a loan of
Rs 25000 was ordered to be granted to
Musahib Rama Rao on the mortgage
of his jaghir villages of Kanenur &c
with a direction that it was to be
seen that the amount lent was
actually applied for the full dis-
charge of the encumbrances on the
jaghir villages. A total sum of
Rs 23963-13-4 was lent to Rama
Rao up to 16 April 1895 for clear-
ing the encumbrances. In a case

case of arrears of allowance payable from the Jaghir revenues to the heirs of Lanamamma daughter of Siva Row, the elder brother of Musahib Rama Rao, a sum of Rs 880 at Rs 220 per annum for 4 years from 1889-90 to 1892-93 was admitted to be due but the claim could not be discharged as there was then no one to represent the minors legally. Narayana Rao son-in-law of Sanamamma who has since obtained letters of administration on behalf of the minors now claims the amount. The loan account of Rama Rao was practically closed in April 1895 and intimated to Comptroller. Orders are therefore now solicited regarding the payment of the present claim of Rs 880 which with the sum previously advanced

advanced will be Rs 24,843-13-4 i.e. within the loan of Rs 25000 granted by Government.

2. The application of NarayanaRao and the Statement of Musahib RamaRao are submitted herewith for perusal.—

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Draft

6

8211

Read:

Letter No: 394 dated the 25th September 1897 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District reporting that the payment of Rs 880/- due by Musahib Ramarao to the minor heirs of his elder brother Siva Rao's daughter Sannamma as arrears of allowance payable from the Jahagir revenues at Rs 220/- per annum for 4 years from 1889-90 to 1892-93, had been held in abeyance as there was none to represent the minors legally; and soliciting orders to the payment

of the said sum out of the balance of the loan of Rs 25000/- sanctioned in Govt Progs No: C 339-41 dated 6th June 1893 and No: 176 dated 10th November 1893, to Saunaumma's son-in-law Narayana Row who has since obtained letters of administration on behalf of the minors, and claims the amount.

~~~ " ~~~

Order thereon No 3866-67 H: 380 Dt 29-10-97

In the letter read above, the Deputy Commr reports that Narayan Row has obtained letters of administration on
~~~

behalf of the ~~Infrs~~ Musaheb Rama Row agrees to the payment of the amount to Narain Row ~~of~~ who has obtained the requisite letters of administration. The debt is included in the list received with the Deputy Commr's letter No. 74 dated the 19th July 1893, and is within the ~~loan~~ amount of loan already sanctioned in the Proj. quoted above. Government are therefore pleased to authorise the discharge of the said claim for Rs 880/-, which amount may be duly paid to Narain Row

(By Gov's order)
V
22/10/97

23/10/97
Jt Secretary

The Deputy Commr: Mysore with Ver papers
The Comptroller Mysore

Secretary

Get a full report, ~~& etc~~ as to how this debt stands & submit to a full explanatory note.

(RD) VP N.K 24.5.02

Registry & transfer to Revenue Branch

(RO) V.R.J. 26/5/02

Transferred to Revenue Branch as per orders for needful

28/5/02

See Draft Order

Draft

28/5/02

Gl: Records.

Please put up Camp Rs 339-419 6/6/93 & 176-89 10/11/93.

29/5/02 To Revenue Branch

A spare copy of Government Proceedings No. Camp. 339-419 6th June 1893 herewith put up.

The other Proceedings No: 176-89 10th November 1893 quoted 18th not letter is forthcoming in the Records sent down by you.

R.B. 31/5/02

31/5/02

File put up Subd with draft for approval

31/5/02

2/6. 2/6

(8212) 1015-L (12)

No. 3228 174

Name of Petitioner Musahebe Rama Rao son of Burkoba Beemarao

Village Dupone

Taluk

District

Date of Arzi 16.5.02

Date of Receipt 26

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure —

ABSTRACT

States that he had taken a loan of Rs 25,000 from Govt. in 1893, hypothecating his Jahagir village.

That he was subsequently obliged to incur a debt of Rs 4000

That the above sum has doubled itself in the mean while

Prays that either he may be given a loan of Rs 5000 from Govt. or that only the interest

on the account due to Court
may be ordered to be received
for five years
1883
2/15

From

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

To

176 (13)

The Dy. Com
Nagpur

Dated

Despatched 10th June 1902

Received 16

General No. 7L 1968

R.T. No. 51-97

Enclosure No. 1

In forwarding herewith an application dated 16th Ma 1902 from Musaheb Ra Rao praying for a further loan of Rs 8000 on the se of the property already mortg by him to Govt: in 1893 or the only the interest on the ori loan may be ordered to be taken from him the next fi years, I has the honor to request that the Dy. C

8L 13

Will he so good as to
make a ~~detailed~~ full report
as to how this debt stands.

B.O.

7/6/92

Sec. Secretary.

70

Wrapper letter no 3544 dt 27-6-07
from the Secretary to the
DC Mysore is missing

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

8214

Draft as per order

128

(15)

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

Date. 28/7/02
28
No. Fl 241
R.J.S.I-97

This office

A true draft will be submitted

This office No. Fl 1968 dt 10.6.02
Regarding the addl loan R J No. 51-97

1 Asked for by Munsab Rama Rao of Mysore

has the honor to ~~invite his attention to the above and~~ request the early Submission of the report called for in above.

2. A ~~herewith in original a petition dt 35444 & 27-June for application~~ petition dt 28 from Munsab Rama Rao, ~~for the disposal~~ by the Deputy Commissioner, ~~of the prayer as regards of the Second of the three~~ ~~of his appointment to bound of obligations prayed for his pays arrears payable in the 4th Form of his (Munsab Rama Rao) petition~~

'By order'

for Secretary

Initial 28/7

(1) that his pagri jahgir may be kept under Court management till all his debts are liquidated (2) that a Court

Appointment may
be given to any
his sons is forwarded
herewith, for
report as
regards the 1st prayer
& for dismissal
of the 2d prayer

M
25/7/02

Letter no 206 dt 19-6-02

from the Asst. Dr Rama Rao

is not in the file

Draft for approval

1902

From (1) Remembrancer (47)

THE SECRETARY

To THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

8215

To The Dy Comr

Mysore

Dated 24-9-02

Despatched by

Received

General No. R1276

No.

Enclosure No.

This Office No.s 1960 & 7241 dated R751-97 R751-97

10.6.02 & 28.7.02, ~~100~~ 97 respectively

Calling for a full report re Musahib Rama Rau's petition for a ~~pending~~ grant of additional loan to him and to his Jaghir village being kept under ~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted~~ Govt management till the liquidation of all his debts.

Has the honor to ~~draw~~ limite attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By Order,

VL 23/9/02

for Secretary.

(18)

From 2nd Reminder 1902

THE SECRETARY
TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

8/17

To The Dy Comr
Mysore Dt

Dated 18-10-02
Despatched 18.
Received
General No. R1674
No. R7.51-97
Enclosure No.

This Office No. 1968 R7.51-97 and 7R241 R.751-97 dated 10.6.02. and 28.7.1902. respectively

Calling for a full report re Munsabdar Ramarao's petition for a grant of additional loan to him and his Jaghir village being kept under Court management till the liquidation of all his debts.

and subsequent reminder marginally noted.

R12748 24.9.02

Has the honor to ~~draw~~ invite attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By Order,

17/10/02
for Secretary.

MGOPB—500—13-3-02.

(191)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No.
OCT. 25 1902
R No. 1572
DEWAN'S OFFICE

1902-03 184

"What is this about? How does this matter stand?"

20/. P. N.K.
11/10/02

8216

Reference
20/
21.10.02

354-11 1/901 ಸ್ವಾಮಿರಾವ್ ಸಾಹೇಬ್
ಸೆ 7-7-02 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದ
ಜಾಗೀರ್ದಾರ್
22-10-02

To be sent to the Revenue Branch in continuation

20/
22-10-02

24/10/02

Filed with
28
11/11/02

Note Cont'd.
24/11/02

No. 994

Name of Petitioner Rama Rao Musahib

Village Jaghirdar.

Taluk (Kanakapur) place is not clearly mentioned

District

Date of Arzi 10-10-02

Date of Receipt 17

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil.

ABSTRACT

Reminder to his previous application for loan (date not specified) praying for an early grant of it.

Huch.
24/10/02

Ref.
N 7.5/11/2

MGCPB—4,000—31-1-02

DIV C[illegible]N N
PL[illegible] 186
F[illegible]D 994 R
DATE 17-10-1902

What's this about? Where does my letter stand? 11.X.02

P. L. Krishna Kutti Nayar
B.C.
& D.C.

Penang & Province
Wellesley.

Most respected Sir,

14/10/02

994

I, the undersigned Muthalikurukkal Ramarao Jahagirdar of Kannanur, most respectfully beg to invite your kind attention to my Loan application submitted in my last, and to state that the interest payable to creditors will swell if my case is not settled early.

As such I humbly request that you will be pleased to order for the loan applied for at an early date.

For which act of kindness I shall be ever grateful.

I have the honour
to be Most respected Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

10th October
1902.

1902

From

THE SECRETARY

To THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

GENL. & REV. DEPTS.

To

Dated 18/11/02

Despatched 18.

Received

General No. R 2188

R.2 No. 51 97

Enclosure No.

This Office No. 1968 R 2 57-97 and 2241 R 757-97 dated 10.6.02 and 28.7. 1902, respectively calling for a full report re Mussalman Rama Rau's petition for a grant of additional loan to him and his Jaghir village being kept under Govt. management till the liquidation of all his debts.

and subsequent reminder marginally noted.

Has the honor to ~~draw~~ write attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By Order,

14/11/02

for Secretary.

R 1274 & 24.9.02

R 1674. & 18-10-02

Rec'd & copied 15/11/02

(21)

4/12/02 190

Dear

97/17/91 819

Three reminders have been issued from this office asking for an early submission of the report called for in Govt Hl 1968 R7-51-97 and Hl 241 R751-97 dt 28.10.6.01 and 28.7.02, respectively in connection with ~~and relating to~~ the further loan of Rs 8000 ~~asked for~~ requested by Musahib Rama Rau and ~~the~~ his proposal to keep his Jagir village under the Govt management till the liquidation of all his debts due to Govt. Please look into the matter and expedite the report ~~to oblige~~,

Kindly

Yours sincerely

3/12/02

To
The Dy Comr, Mysore Dt

Ramanuja Jangam Esq

DEPARTMENT
No.
C.12 1903
R 10 2259

190

(22)

From The Dy. Comm. 92
Mysore Resident

97/17/91 (820)

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 10/12/02

Despatched

Received

General No. D.O.
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that the report in re the boundaries of forest lay Inamdar Rama Rao will be submitted when a reply is received from the Comptroller.

References to be marked.

Telephone
19/12/02
Mr. K.S. please enclose the official letter
17/1-7/12 may
~~Please bring~~ the report promised in this be awaited
K.S.
20/12 note cont'd + submd
21/12/02

Inspector
10 12 02

Dear Sir

I am in receipt of your D.O letter of the 4th Inst The necessary Statistics have been collected but there is discrepancy as to the balance of loan due to Government by Municipal Council Ross, which can only be cleared by a reference to the Comptroller He has been written. The returns called for will be

on after a reply is received
from that officers.

Yours Sincerely

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner
Impose District

H V Nanjundaiah Esquire
M.A. M.L.
Secretary

1903 Q.U.

4th Reminder

FROM

The Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

4.X 17/91 (621)

To

The Dy: Com^r, Mysore

&c.

Dated 24/1/03

Despatched 24.

Received ✓

General No. (R 2885

R.T No. 51-97

Enclosure No.

This office no: 1968 / R.3 51-97 & 241 / R.3.51-97 & 10.6.02 & 28.7.02 respectively calling for a full report re Musaheb Ram Rao's petition for a grant of additional loan to him

R. 1274 & 24.9.02

R. 1674 & 18.10.02

R. 2188 / R.3.51-97 & 18.11.02.

and subsequent reminder marginally noted.

Has the honor to ~~draw~~ invite attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto:

By Order,

22/1/03

For Secretary

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G.O. No.
1903

From The Deputy Commissioner Mysore Distt

To The ~~Registrar~~ Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 3rd Feb. 03

Despatched 5

Received

General No. 660
No.

Enclosure No. 5

97 17/91 8222

Furnishing information regarding the grant of additional loan to Musahab Ramaiah

Deputy Commissioners Office
of the Kolar District,

To

Dated Kolar the 3rd February 1903,

From.

B. V. Venkataramanadasa Iyengar,
Deputy Commissioner,
of the Kolar District.

To

~~The Registrar of the High Court~~
~~of Mysore~~
The Secretary, to the
Govt. of Mysore, Rev. &
Genl Departments

Sir,

In reply to your docket no 7 dated 28-7-1902
1968 / 27.51.97 of the 10th June 1902, calling for a report
on the petition of Lusahib, Mr. Rama Das, for the grant of an additional loan (and subsequent reminders, as per margin), I have the honor to State as follows:—

R. 1241 / 27.51.97
R. 1274 dt. 24-9-1902
R. 1674 dt. 18-10-1902
R. 2188 dt. 18-11-1902
27.51.97

2 Mr. Rama Das borrowed a Sum of Rupees 25,000 from Government in the year 1893 as sanctioned in Government Proceedings nos: 339-41 and 176-8 dated the 6th June and the 10th November 1893 respectively, on the 1st mortgage of his Inam

villages &c. A copy of the mortgage deed (made from a copy kept in this office for reference) executed by Mr. Rama Row and his eldest son Bhimo Row in this behalf is herein enclosed for information

3 A Statement received from the Comptroller, shows that a sum of Rupees c—
18,612-13-5 was due to Government from Mr. Rama Row on the 30th June 1901. This c—
account, however, did not tally with the account mentioned in the Statement received from the Treasury officer of this district with his docket no 73 dated the 17th July 1902. The Comptroller has therefore been requested to furnish correct figures up to date. The exact amount due to Govern—ment from the petitioners will be intimated soon after a reply is received from the Comptroller.

4 Mr Rama Row has furnished a list shewing the amounts paid by him

towards the liquidation of the debt from time to time and the same is herewith submitted, in original, for information. He is, however, not able to say how much of this amount has been credited towards principle and interest respectively.

5. In his petition submitted to Government, Mr. Rama Rao requests that a further loan of 8000 Rupees may be advanced to him on the security of the properties already mortgaged by him to Government, and if that cannot be done, he begs that the payment by annual instalments towards the principle amount may be excused for a period of five years. He has now presented a statement to this office shewing the amounts of debts due from him up to date, and prays that the additional loan asked for by him may be given on the further security of his wet lands in the village of Bannur in the T. Narsipur Taluk, if the security already

furnished be deemed insufficient. The Statement "C", herewith submitted, shews the amounts due by him. The Statements A & B (herewith submitted) shew the receipts and disbursements respectively of the Inam Villages in question.

6 It will be seen from the copy of the bond, herein enclosed, that the Inamdar agreed to place the mortgaged villages under Government management, but the villages have not been so placed under Government management up to date. I have not been able to find out why the terms of the bond were not enforced. A further report will be made on the subject after needful enquiry.

7 Mr Rama Rao has not been regular in paying the annual instalments according to the terms stipulated in the deed. According to the Statement received from the Comptroller a Sum of Rupees 4944-2-4 was due from him on account of the

principle up to the end of June 1901. As a
relation received with your dispatch under
reply is herewith reclaimed.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant.

[signature]

Diplomatic

Office Argentine Republic

	13/6/94		1814		
	25-7-94		127	1	2
	30-3-95		289	14	10
	25-6-95		2000		
	14-7-96		1650		
	10-8-97		1550		
	29-4-98		113	8	10
	31-12-98		2680	12	10
	11-3-99		750		

C.

Statement showing the liabilities of the Kinna Estate.

No.	Name of Creditors	Amount		
1.	Ambabooji	413	.	
2.	Krishna Rau deceased	220	.	
3.	Venkaboji alias Nattanna	567	12	
4.	Gangabai Narayana Rao due on a promissory note	800	.	
5	Krishnaswami Aiyangar	1130	.	
6	Neelakanta Rao	300	.	
7	Ambabai Ammaji Pandit (due on a mortgage bond)	5207	2	6
8	Hanumantha Rao alias Shamrao	820	.	
9	Labour Temple	1362	.	
	Total	11023	14	6

Copy

Kinna Rau

5-12-1902

Asst. Comr.

Rec. 5/12/02.

61

413—0—0

193—0—0

220—0—0

413—0—0

220—0—0

567—12—0

159—0—0

204—6—0

204—6—0

567—12—0

800—0—0

1130—0—0

1000—0—0

130—0—0

1130—0—0

Details of Investment

No	Items	Amount		
1	Local Cess payable to Government	148	12	10
2	Payments to Temples.	352	7	6.
	Veeraraghava Temple. 277-15-6			
	Kalikamba do 20-0-0			
	Kamakshi do 2-0-4			
	Nikantheswara 35-0-0			
	Annadana 17-8-0			
3	Allowances to Brahmins and female members of the Family	361	14	0
	Kuchabovi 110-0-0			Who is this.
	Ambabovi 220-0-0	✓		
	Venkobovi 204-6-0	✓		
	Nikantashetty 67-8-0	✓		
	Narasabovi 140-0-0			Who is this?
	Krishna Rao's daughters 220-0-0	✓		
4	Pay of village Servants	494		
	Agent 240-0-0			
	Shanbhog 73-0-0			
	Patels 70-0-0			
	Peon 72-0-0			
	Contingencies 39-0-0			
	Total	1957	2	4

Copy

Asst Commr

Sd/ Kittur Rao

5-12-1902

42

of the Galagi villages of Munabad Kanara dist., prepared to the Accounts of 1900-1901.

No.	Items of Income.	Kannoor	Chaddoor	Kallahalli	Kattavalu	Mandura Kalli-	Bamboo Lands	Total Assessments	13a Cawle
1.	Land Income	1169-12-"	979-4-"	485-12-"	644-12-"	302-8-"	365-2-"	(with Sha 557-2-4 Cawle 18)	3627-11-10.
2	Amaraji	1-1-4	150-"-"	70-"-"	"	"			
3	Chokalingpa	35-"-"	76-"-"	57-8-"	43-8-"	41-"-"			
4	Toddy —	168-"-0	378-"-"	132-"-"	"	25-"-"			
5	Forest (Licenses)	x 120-"-"	x (No average income	from	all	villages)			
6	Local Cess.	88-"-10	79-12-6	49-5-6	42-1-9	20-"-1			
7	Miscellaneous	16-"-"	22-15-"	5-12-6	7-4-"	5-7-3			
	Total —	1597-14-2	1685-15-5	800-5-6	737 9-9	393-15-4			

Total income 5584-14-2.

Sd/ Rama Rao
5-12-1902

Copy
Sd/
Asst. Comr.

BM

[illegible] 901—902 [illegible]

[illegible]

	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	1169—12—0	979—4—·	485—12—0	644—12—0	302—8—0	3582—„—„
[illegible]	1—1—4	150—0—0	70—0—0	„	„	221—1—4
[illegible]	35—0—0	76—0—0	57—8—0	43—8—0	41—0—0	253—„—„
[illegible]	88—0—10	79—12—5	49—5—6	42—1—9	20—0—1	279—4—7
[illegible]	16—0—0	22—15—0	5—12—6	7—4—0	5—7—3	57—6—3
[illegible]	168—0—0	378—0—0	132—0—0	„	25—0—0	703—0—0
[illegible]	1477—14—2	1685—15—5	800—5—6	737—9—9	393—15—4	5095—12—2

[illegible]

[illegible] 148—12—10

[illegible] 352—7—6

[illegible] 277—15—6

[illegible] 20—0—0

[illegible] 2—0—0

	35—0—	
	17—8—0	
	352—7—6	
		961—14—
	110—0—	
	220—0—	
	204—6—0	
	67—8—0	
	140—0—	
	220—0—	
	961—14—0	
		494—0—
	240—0—	
	73—0—	
	70—0—	
	72—0—	
	39—0—	
	494—0—0	
		1957—2—4
		1957—2—4
		3128—9—10

Copy made from a copy of the bond kept in the District office for reference.

916

This indenture made the 15th day of November 1894 between (1) Mussahib Rama Rao, son of Bakshi Bhima Rao and (2) Bhima Rao eldest son of Rama Rao, above named of the one part and the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District on behalf of the Government of Mysore of the other part hereafter called the mortgagors and mortgagee respectively.

Witnesseth that under the orders of the Government contained in R.O. No. Cam/p 3394 and 176-5 dated 6-6-93 and 10-11-93 respectively, a loan not exceeding 25,000 Rs was sanctioned to be given on the 1st mortgage of the Jaghir villages belonging to the mortgagers to enable them to discharge ancestral mortgages on their Jaghir villages &c and to clear other family debts due under decrees &c the loan being repayable with interest at 5 P.C. per annum by instalments of 2500 Rs per annum, out of the net annual revenue of Jaghir villages, which should be applied first towards the interest on the loan, and the balance towards the reduction of the principal amount. That the mortgagers received from the Government as a first instalment of the advance on 10th July 1893 of Rs 21600/- which they applied to clear in full the debt due to Sah Timmappa and obtained from him a relea of the Jaghir villages &c which had been from

mortgages

Signed/..... in marati

2) Sd/ B. Bhima Rao

3) Sd/ Krishnaswami Rao.

Witnesses

Sd/ W. P. Narasimhamurthy Madliar

" Head Clerk, Dy Commrs Office

Sd/ M. G. Krishnaiengar.

Rev: Sh[r]

Schedule of properties mortgaged to Government.

Sanna Inam villages of Nanjangud Taluk

1) Kanagenoor Kasaba Hobli

2) Maddur do

3) Kallahalli do

4) Hutival do

5) Mandanahalli do

Mysore town. A tiled house.

To

P.N. Krishna Murti, Esquire, B.L.,C.I.E.,

Dewan of Mysore.



Most Respected Sir,

The undersigned Musaheb Rama Rao, son of Bukshi Bheema Rao, respectfully begs to ~~bring~~ lay the following before your honour with the hope of finding a kind and generous consideration.

He begs to submit that after getting a loan of Rs.25,000 from the Government to clear all encumberances in 1893, hypothicating his Jahagir villages, unfortunately a debt of Rs.4000 had to be incurred for the marriages of his granddaughters and other unavoidable requirements of the family.

As the revenue collection from the Jahagir lands had to be regularly paid both for the Government dues and as well as to other persons interested in the Jahagir, there has been no way to discharge the above debts Rs.4000; consequently the debt nearly doubled itself during the last six years.

Had it not been for the timely help given by the Government in 1893, the Jahagir villages would have been by this time in the enjoyment of the creditors; for which he and his family are ever indebted to Government.

A sum of Rs.17,000 has been paid to the Government during the last 7 years out of which deducting for the interest, a sum of Rs.10,000 has been paid up towards the principal and the original amount of Rs.25,000 has now been reduced to about Rs.15,000.

As personally represented the undersigned sees no means of discharging the above mentioned debt of Rs.8000 for which a high rate of interest (15 per cent per annum) has to be paid and therefore requests that your honour will be pleased to order for a loan of Rs.8000 which together with the

balance

No. Jl. 1645 Dt 31/3/03.
31.

824

(824)

To

The Dy Commissioner,
Nagpur.

97/17/91

His letter No. 660 dated 3rd Feby 1903 stating that the exact amount due to Govt by Mussaheb Lur Rama Rao will be intimated on receipt of a reply from the Comptroller, Nagpur-fort, and also that a report will be submitted after enquiry as to why the mortgaged villages were not kept under Govt management according to the mortgage bond.

Has the honor to request him to expedite the two reports abovementioned.

By order

31/3/03
for Secy
Jl 1663.

V Rev 1/6/04 26 Dec

Gen. 30]

189 12

From 126

The Revenue Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore.

To The Dy. Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 3.9.03
Despatched 3.
Received
General No. 2
No. 97-98.
Enclosure No.

This Office No. H 1645
dated 31st March 1903

calling for the Early Submission of Reports as to the Exact amount due to Govt. by Musahet Inr. Rama Rao and as to why the mortgage villages were not kept under Govt. management according to the mortgage bond

~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted.~~

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By order,

1/9/03
for ~~Revenue~~ Secretary.

1/9/03. compd 3/9/03

CHARGE FOR INLAND TELEGRAMS.

The Address (including name of Sender, and name and residence of Addressee) is transmitted free.

	First 8 words.	Each additional word.	
Deferred Message	... 8 Annas	1 Anna.	A group of 8, or less figures, is reckoned as one word.
Ordinary "	... 1 Rupee	2 Annas.	
Urgent "	... 2 Rupees	4 Annas.	

No charge for delivery within a distance of 5 Miles.

Submitted to the Secretary

The file is put up -
Reply is one from
the Director, Nyapore -
Reminder sent
last on 27.3.03.
This is another Reminder
of interest given to day
Submit full
records. Wednesday 1/9/03

Sale forwarded
to the Asst Secy with the Cheque

1/9/03

1/9/03

OGP—No 483 P. G.—25-10-1932—1600000

Class.

INDIAN TELEGRAPH.

To

From

Station

Station

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	OFFICIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

To (Person)

From (Person)

10

11

12

13

14

RECD. FROM L. N. SENT TO L. N.

AT Hrs. Mts. By AT Hrs. Mts. By

Date

Postmaster

Diary No: 1268

1904 280

From
H. Macleay Esq BA
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
B. Venkataramanandayangi Esq
Secretary to the Govt
Mysore & Coorg Departments

Dated 26 September 1904
Despatched

Received

General No. 233
No.

Enclosure No. 7

Furnishing information
His re the amount recovered
from Musaheb Rama Rau
towards the loan advanced to
him by Govt

8226

9.7 17/91

No 233
Cir 7

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 26 Sepbr 1903.

To

B. K. Venkataravada Iyengar Esquire
Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Genl & Rev: Department.

Sir

Adverting to your docket nos:
J. L 1645 dated the 31st march 1903 and
subsequent reminder no R 502 / Rev. 15-1897 dated
The 3rd September 1903 thereon in re the
exact amount recovered from Mu-
Saheb Mr Rama Rao towards the loan
advanced to him by Government ve I
have the honor to state as follows:—

As regards the repayments
made by the Inamdar towards the
loan, Mr Rama Rao has now produced
a memo showing the several sums
paid by him to the District and Taluk
Treasuries from time to time towards
the discharge of the annual interest and
the principal of the loan obtained by him.

Extracts

932

Extracts from the said memo are forwarded to the District and Taluk Treasury Officers concerned for verification and early return.

The Inamdar prays for the grant of a further loan of about five thousand Rupees to enable him to pay up his other creditors, and offers to give further security if required, in addition to the Inam villages already mortgaged to Government. This question is pending before Government.

As regards the arrears of instalments of Rs. 7418-15-4 towards principal and Rs 5-10-4 towards interest, mentioned in Government Proceedings No: H 1494-6 G.I Dis 25-02-2 dated the 10th September 1904, as being due from the Inamdar up to the end of June 1903, Dr. Rama Rao is not in a position to say whether the balance is correct as the details of the appropriation of the amounts paid by him from time to time have not been furnished to him. This will be done after the completion of the personal ledger in this office. The Inamdar adds

that

that the delay in payment of the above arrears is due to the non-receipt of the Toddy Revenue of the Inam villages in question from Government, and if the arrears of the toddy revenue for the past 8 or 10 years be ordered to be paid, the same will be utilized towards the liquidation of a major portion of the balance shown against him.

The Inamdars claim to the toddy revenue of the said villages is still under enquiry and a report will be shortly submitted to Government for orders.

As per terms of the mortgage deed, the Inamdars should have placed the Jahagir villages under the management of the Government with effect from the 15th day of November 1894, so that the Government might administer the revenues thereof and pay itself the yearly instalment of not less than Rupees 2500/- out of the net annual revenue of the Jahagir villages, towards the discharge of the interest on the loan and the reduction of the principal, and also to continue to do so till such time as the Government dues shall have been fully liquidated.

But

But on the application of Mutalik Mr. Rama Rao,
The then Deputy Commissioner has permitted
The Inamdar to do the collection work him-
-self and pay the annual instalment to
The Taluk Treasury. A Copy of the original
Kararse order no: 278 dated the 12th may 1894
produced by the Inamdars is herewith
submitted for reference. In the year 1895,
Inamdar Mr. Rama Rao was empowered to
exercise the power specified in clause (b)
of section 99 of the Land Revenue Code with
respect to the Inam villages mortgaged to Govern-
-ment. vide Government Proceedings No:
9538-R 3679 dated the 16th April 1896. The
Inamdar now states that he is ready to
put the Government in possession of the
mortgaged Inam villages. On receipt of
Government orders needful instruction
will be issued to the Amildar of the Nanjangud
Taluk.

The Statement given by Mr. Rama
Rao, dated 19th September 1901 and a Copy of
Government Proceedings No: 9538-R. 3679 dated
The 16th April 1896 referred to therein are also
herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most Obedient Servant.

Deputy Commissioner.
Mysore District

Ag

1814 — 0 — 0	૨૦ 13 — 6 — 94	૧૯૯૪	—	—
127 — 1 — 2	૨૦ 25 — 7 — 94	૧૯૯૪	૭	—
289 — 14 — 10	૨૦ 30 — 3 — 95	૧૯૯૫	૪	—
2000 — 0 — 0	૨૦ 25 — 6 — 95	૧૯૯૫	—	—
1650 — 0 — 0	૨૦ 14 — 7 — 96	૧૯૯૬	—	—
1550 — 0 — 0	૨૦ 10 — 8 — 97	૧૯૯૭	૪	—
113 — 8 — 10	૨૦ 29 — 4 — 98	૧૯૯૮	૪	—
2680 — 12 — 10	૨૦ 31 — 12 — 98	૧૯૯૮	૪	—
750 — 0 — 0	૨૦ 16 — 3 — 99	૧૯૯૯	૪	—
757 — 2 — 2	૨૦ 15 — 5 — 99	૧૯૯૯	૪	—
908 — 8 — 10	૨૦ 30 — 6 — 99	૧૯૯૯	૪	—
900 — 0 — 0	૨૦ 19 — 4 — 900	૧૯૦૦	૪	—
1500 — 0 — 0	૨૦ 12 — 4 — 901	૧૯૦૧	૪	—
551 — 14 — 2	૨૦ 13 — 4 — 901	૧૯૦૧	૪	—
400 — 0 — 0	૨૦ 11 — 7 — 901	૧૯૦૧	૪	—
225 — 0 — 0	૨૦ 24 — 7 — 901	૧૯૦૧	૪	—
890 — 1 — 0	૨૦ 29 — 1 — 902	૧૯૦૨	૪	—
1000 — 0 — 0	૨૦ 29 — 3 — 902	૧૯૦૨	૪	—
1500 — 0 — 0	૨૦ 15 — 5 — 903	૧૯૦૩	૪	—
1000 — 0 — 0	૨૦ 14 — 5 — 904	૧૯૦૪	૪	—
2660 — 15 — 10				

19-9-01

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ (ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ)

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

238

Read

correspondence ending with No 461 dated 14th October 1895 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore district, recommending of Mr Rama Rao Bheemajee Jaghirdar of Kanamur, Hadwadi, Madoor, Kakkahalli and Ramdoor Hally in the Nanjangud Taluk, with certain powers under Sec 99 of the Land Revenue Code to help him in the management of the revenues of the said villages -

Order thereon No 95-38
R 3679

dated Bangalore 16th April 1896

Under the circumstances represented in the above, the Government are pleased to issue the accompanying Commission conferring on the Jaghirdar the powers specified in Clause B of Sec 99 of the Land Revenue Code -

2 The Jaghirdar should be made to understand distinctly that great care and caution should be used

in the exercise of the powers conferred on him, and that he will be answerable for any abuse thereof. In case a doubt he should refer the matter to the Deputy Commissioner for orders. The proceedings of the Jaghirdars should be watched by the Deputy Commissioner & any abuse brought to the notice of Government.

3 Government decline to consult Dr. Ramakrishna with the powers described in Clause (a) of Section 99. Signed/ C. Srinivasa Iyengar
Secretary
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 25th April 1896.

No. 1982
143
27.4.96

Copy of the above together with its enclosure is forwarded to the 2nd Assistant Commissioner for communication to Dr. Ramakrishna Bhatnagar through the Amildar

Signed/ B. K. Venkatavaradaiyengar
Deputy Tahsildar
of the Mysore District

G.O. 346
dt. 39.
5-88

signed/ M. Chengia Chetty
Asst: Commr

(Commission under Sec 99 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code. 1888

To.

Mr. Ramchao Bheemajee Jahagirdar of Kaneenur, Hadwall, Kuddur, Kullahalli and Kadam Hally in the Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore District

By virtue of the power vested in it by the Mysore Land Revenue Code 1888, the Government of Mysore is pleased to confer on you Mr Ramchao Bheemajee

jahagir..... of Kanmur, Hadwall, Madur, Kulluhally and Indam Hally in the Nanjangud Taluk) under clause (b) of section 99 of the Land Revenue Code, the power to attach the properties of persons making default in payment of Land Revenue or cesses due to you on the lands comprised in the said villages of Kanmur Hadwall, Madur Kulluhally and Mandanahally in the Nanjangud Taluk, provided you have entered into definite engagements with the ryots as to rent, whether in money or in kind payable on the holdings.

2. The powers hereby delegated to you

in your personally ಅಧಿಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ
and during his pleasure ಅವರ ಇಷ್ಟಾನುಸಾರ
and subject to the recall ಮತ್ತು ಅವರಿಂದ
of the Government of ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ
Mysore— ಅಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿ

"By order"

Office of the Dewan of Signed C. Srinivasaiyangar
Mysore dated Secretary
Bangalore 16th April 1896.

No
278

Mm

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದ್ದು ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಎಂದು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಂದ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಬಂದ ನಂತರ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಗೆ

12-5-1894 ਨੂੰ ਜਾਰੀ ਹੋਇਆ ਨੰਬਰ 278 ਨੂੰ ਸਬੰਧਤ
ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਦੇ ਨਾਲ ਜੁੜੇ ਹੋਏ
ਨਕਸ਼ੇ ਨੰ. 1 ਦੇ ਮੁਤਾਬਕ ਜਿਹੜੀ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ
ਹੱਦ ਲਾਲ ਰੰਗ ਦੀ ਲਕੀਰ ਨਾਲ ਦਿਖਾਈ ਗਈ ਹੈ
ਉਹ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਜਿਹੜੀ ਕਿ ਮੌਜ਼ਾ ਕੋਟ ਸਾਰੰਗ ਵਿਚ
ਸਥਿਤ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਜਿਸ ਦਾ ਰਕਬਾ 1 ਕਨਾਲ 10
ਮਰਲੇ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮਤੀ ਰਾਮ
ਕੌਰ ਵਿਧਵਾ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਜੱਟ ਸਾਰੰਗ ਦੀ ਹੈ
ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਸਥਾਈ ਤੌਰ ਤੇ ਅਲਾਟ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ
ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਦੇ ਨਾਲ ਹੀ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਹੁਕਮ ਦਿੱਤਾ
ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਹ ਇਸ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੇ
ਸਾਰੇ ਹੱਕ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕਰੇ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ
ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਫਰਜ਼ ਪੂਰੇ ਕਰੇ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ
ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਫਰਜ਼ ਪੂਰੇ ਕਰੇ
ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਫਰਜ਼
ਪੂਰੇ ਕਰੇ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੇ
ਸਾਰੇ ਫਰਜ਼ ਪੂਰੇ

ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಪಾದಪ್ಪ - ೧೮೯೬ ಗೋಪಾಲಪ್ಪ ಅಂಗಡಿ ೨೬೩೪೬೭೯
ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿಬಾಯಿ ಕೋಂ ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಪಾದಪ್ಪ ಅಂಗಡಿ
ಗೃಹಿಣಿ - ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮನೆತನದ ಸೊಸೆ
ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ಗುರುಪಾದಪ್ಪ ಅಂಗಡಿ
ಮಾಲೀಕರು - ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ಅಂಡ್ ಸನ್ಸ್
ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ಅಂಡ್ ಸನ್ಸ್ ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಪಾಲುದಾರರು
ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ಅಂಡ್ ಸನ್ಸ್ ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಪಾಲುದಾರರು
ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ಗುರುಪಾದಪ್ಪ ಅಂಗಡಿ

Before me

19-9-44

Special Tahsildar Cuddapah

G.R.S. 26]

8827

File No. 51097

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1268

No. K 3095
R751-97

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
Dated the 19th Nov 1904.

To
The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

Date of issue do

Signed by Mr M Krishnan

His letter No. 233 of the 26th September 1904 submitting a statement furnished by Inamdar Sir Rama Rao showing the amounts paid by him towards the loan of Rs. 25,000/- ~~granted~~ granted to him and explaining the cause of his not ~~keeping~~ placing the Inam villages mortgaged to Govt under Govt. Management

9717/97

over.

SUBJECT.

I am to request
that
~~that he will~~ he may be sent Examples
Labour at an Early date a final
report as the Exact amount due
to post by Mussabel Per. Rama Rao
~~after the accounts are Verified~~
the Villages mortgaged ~~to~~ by
him should at once be ~~taken~~ brought
~~to~~ under post. Management.

The question of granting a further
loan to Per. Rama Rao will be
considered on receipt of Verified
accounts which should be sub-
mitted as soon as possible

B. O

15.11.1909

Secretary

12/11

12/11/09

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

file

No. H 3096
51-97
dt 19 Nov 04

428

97 17/91

B.O
Secretary 15/11/1904

No. Jl 3097 / R+51-97 dt 19-11-04

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Dy. Insp. of Schools Tiptur District for information

B.O
15/11/1904
Secretary

12/11

Diary No: 1676

254

Petn says, title in two sale deeds dt. 1-11-02 and 1-11-02 in favour of petn. Petn purchased the land for Rs. 2,00,000/- and Rs. 25,000/- respectively. Petn constructed a residential house and a commercial complex for a total cost of Rs. 3,25,000/- and the same was completed in the month of March 2003. Petn has been paying property tax to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying water charges to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying electricity charges to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying sewerage charges to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other charges to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other taxes to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other dues to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other amounts to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other sums to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other payments to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other remittances to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other contributions to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other subscriptions to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other donations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other gifts to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other presents to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other offerings to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other tributes to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other homages to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other obeisances to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other salutations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other greetings to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other compliments to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other courtesies to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other civilities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other politenesses to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other graces to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other favours to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other kindnesses to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other benefactions to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other bounties to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other largesses to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other munificences to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other liberalities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other generosities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other magnanimities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other nobilities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other gallantries to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other chivalries to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other knightlinesses to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other heroisms to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other valours to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other prowesses to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other bravenesses to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other courages to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other fortitudes to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other resolutions to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other determinations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other firmnesses to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other steadfastnesses to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other constancies to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other perseverances to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other persistences to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other tenacities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other pertinacities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other obstinacies to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other stubbornnesses to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other intransigences to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other recalcitrances to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other refractorinesses to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other contumacies to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other insubordinations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other disobediences to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other defiances to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other challenges to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other provocations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other incitements to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other instigations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other abetments to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other encouragements to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other promotions to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other furtherances to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other advancements to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other improvements to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other betterments to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other ameliorations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other meliorations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other optimizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other maximizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other perfections to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other consummations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other completions to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other finishings to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other terminations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other endings to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other conclusions to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other closures to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other shutdowns to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other lockouts to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other strikes to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other walkouts to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other stoppages to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other cessations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other discontinuations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other interruptions to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other breaks to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other gaps to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other lacunae to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other voids to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other vacuums to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other emptinesses to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other hollownesses to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other cavities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other excavations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other depressions to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other indentations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other impressions to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other marks to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other traces to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other vestiges to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other remnants to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other relics to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other antiquities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other curiosities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other rarities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other oddities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other peculiarities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other singularities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other uniquenesses to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other exclusivities to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other monopolies to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other cartels to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other trusts to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other combines to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other syndicates to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other consortiums to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other conglomerates to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other multinationals to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other transnationals to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other supranationals to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other international organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other intergovernmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other non-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other quasi-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other semi-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other para-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other extra-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other supra-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other trans-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other inter-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other intra-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other peri-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other ante-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other post-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other pre-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other proto-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other deutero-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other tritero-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other tetarto-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other penkto-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other hekto-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other hepto-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other okto-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other ennea-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality. Petn has been paying all other deka-governmental organizations to the respondent-Municipality.

1-11-02

Rec'd Petition copy

Dt. 1/11

Diary No: 1676

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

216

31 — 10 — 904

D. 3802

458

11 mch 98/3/05
1905

From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

8289

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
General and Rev Depart-
ments
in Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 10 march 05

Despatched 16 do

Received

General No. 757

No.

Enclosure No. 1

97-17/91

Re the Exact balance
due to Govt by Mursahib
Rama Row

Deputy-Commissioner's
office, Mysore District

Dated Mysore 10th March 1905.

To

B. R. Venkatacharadiengar Esq:
Secretary to the Govern-
-ment of Mysore
General and Revenue Dept.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket
No. $\frac{\text{R.E. 51-97}}{\text{R 3075}}$ dated the 19th November 1904
requesting me to report the exact
balance due to Government by
Mucaheb Rama Rao, I have the honour
to state that, as per copy of accounts
received from the Comptroller with
his docket No. $\frac{\text{S.A.D. 337}}{3072}$ dated the 1st Decem-
-ber 1904, the balance due to Govern-
-ment by the Inamdar in question was
Rs. 16,839-9-7 on the 12th May 1904. But
as per statement of credits made by
the Inamdar in the Nanjangud Taluk
Treasury and certified to by the Taluk
Treasury officer, the balance comes
to Rs. 15,477-8-5, as per calculations

Made

16,839-9-7 balance as per accounts of the Comptroller's office.

2252-2-2 deduct collections credited in the Taluk Treasury and left out in the Comptroller's statement.

14587-7-5

890-1-0 Add amount shown in the Comptroller's statement, for which no credit is for the coming in the Taluk Treasury.

15477-8-5

made in the margin.

2. The delay in submitting the final reports called for is owing to this reason.

3. A copy of docket No. 2599 dated the 28th February 1905 addressed on the subject to the Comptroller is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Illegible

Deputy-Commissioner,
Mysore District.

WW

1905

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To The Comptroller to the Government
of Mysore.

Dated 28th February 1905.

Despatched

Received

General No. 2595.
No.

Enclosure No. 2.

With docket No: 3072 / S.A.O.337 dated 18th December 1904, forwarding copies of accounts of loans granted to certain Inamdars of the District.

With reference to the above and in continuation of this office docket No 1978 dated the 26th December 1904, I have the honor to state that Rs 757-2-2 and Rs 1500/ said to have been credited

to the Loan account of Musahib Mr: Rama Rao in the Nanjan gud Taluk Treasury on the 15th May 1899 and the 15th May 1903 respectively have been omitted in the Statement received from his office, to be shown against the account of the Quandar in question— similarly a Sum of Rs 890-1-0. shown in the Comptroller's Statement under the head "Amount paid and credited to interest on the 29th January 1902, finds no corresponding credit in the Taluk Treasury.

2. The report received from the Amildar of the Nanjan gud Taluk to the effect that the 2 Sums in question were credited to the Taluk Treasury is herewith forwarded in original for reference and return.

3. Request therefore to be informed whether the balance shown in his statement against the Quandar — Mr: Musahib Rama Rao can be diminished by the accounts above referred to, as the exact balance due from this gentleman is to be reported to Government.

Copy

H. H. Sparkes

Dy: Commissioner
Mysore District

(Sd). H. H. Sparkes

Dy: Commissioner
Mysore District

G.R.S. 26]

(8238) (8230)-264

File No. 51
8232-8.
Serial No

Diary No. of letter replied to 3802

No. H 8087

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Gen. Br.)
the 26 May 1905.

Date of issue do

Signed by M R Subbaraj Chetty

To

The Dy. Comr., Nizamabad.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. 757 d. the 10.3.05, forwarding for information a copy of your letter no. 2599 d. the 28.2.05 to the Comptroller, on the Subject of the ~~exact amount of the~~ balance of the loan due by Musalib Rama Rao, I have the honor to request you to be furnished as state whether the amount of the balance has been due is verified by the Comptr. &c., to expedite the submission of your further report in the matter.

I have &c.

25.5.05
for Secretary.

97.1/91

K.S
23/5/05.

over.

SUBJECT. Typed on 25/5/05

Up 6

11 1905

From A. H. Sharkey Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To B. K. Venkata Varadacharyar Esqr
Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore Revenue Departt

Dated 10
Despatched 12 June 1905

Received

General No. 1127
No.

8238

27.11.91

Enclosure No. 2

Forwards Copies of Correspondence re the Coan granted to Mr Sharkey Name Now to them.

No 1127
Ec 22

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Mysore District,

Dated Mysore, 10th June 1905.

To,

B.K. Venkatavaradiengar Esquire,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

B a n g a l o r e .

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.8081-R 51-97 dated the 26th May 1905, requesting to intimate, whether the balance of loan due by Musahib Ramarao, has been verified by the Comptroller, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of docket No.5048-S A D 787 dated the 13th March 1905 received from the Comptroller on the ~~xx~~ subject, and to state that the Mysore District Treasury Officer, has been requested to verify the recoveries shewn in the statement received from the Taluk, with reference to the District Treasury accounts, and to explain the cause of difference between the two. (Vide this Office docket No.3655 dated the 27-5-05). A copy of which is also enclosed for kind perusal.

I have the Honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

H H Sparks

Deputy Commissioner,

fp Mysore District.

From Rai N.G.Basu Bahadur M.A.,
Comptroller of Mysore,

To H.H.Sparkes Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated 13th March 1905.

Despatched

Received

General No. 5048

S A D *No.* 787

Enclosure No.

With reference to his No. 2599 of the 28th ultimo pointing out certain discrepencies in the loan account of Musahib Rama Rao has the honor to state that the accounts of this Office are based on the returns received from the District Treasury and therefore references to talyk accounts will not be of any help to this office, from the cause of difference. He is requested to refer the Amildar's report to the District Treasury Officer for verification with the Cah accounts and monthly subsidary statements wherein the amounts said to have been paid by the Inamdar on the 15th May 1899 and 15th May 1903 have been credited, and be so good as to communicate the result to this

Office. The vernacular papers received with the docket under reference are herewith returned.

Sd. J. C. Michael

For Assistant Comptroller.

" C O P Y "

H H Sparke

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

270

To,

The Mysore District Treasury Officer,
Mysore District.

Dated: 27.6.05

No. 3020.

It having come to notice that there is no uniformity of practice in crediting, in the Treasury accounts, the amounts of Revenue derived from the Inam Village under Government management in this District, and that, in the District Treasury itself, the classification of such sums is not properly understood, resulting thereby in the erroneous credits, leading to needless Correspondence in the matter, has the honor to issue the following instructions for the guidance of the Mysore District Treasury and for the issue, from the Treasury Department, of such instructions to the Sub Treasuries

Treasuries as will ensure correct classification of the sums in question in the Treasury accounts.

2. There are many Inam villages in this Province under Government management. These can be divided into two classes, viz Encumbered Jahgirs and Private Estates under Government management.

3. The Encumbered Jahgirs include those Inam villages under Government management on the security of which the Government have advanced a loan to their holders, and the Private Estates relate to other villages, not falling under the above class, that have come under Government management for other reasons.

4. The Treasury accounts also provide for separate Credits being shewn of the ~~ac~~ amounts falling under these two classes, the sums

relating to the first being regarded as Repayments towards the Loans and Advances, and those relating to the second as deposits. vide Treasury Account Form No. 21. 2. page 19 (19 Other deposits 4 Private estates under Government management) and page 21 (25 Advances and Loans 2 Loans to Encumbered Jahgirdars)

5. Any doubt as to which of the two heads a certain amount has to be Credited in the Treasury, should be referred to this office for Solution.

6. To facilitate the above Classification a list is enclosed for reference, Shewing the Villages Considered as encumbered Jahgirs under Government management, and other particulars Relating thereto. The Revenue derived from these Villages should be Credited under the head. "Loans to Encumbered Jahgirdars." All other Villages under Government management not

Specified

Specified in the above are deemed as Private estates, and their Revenue Should be credited to Deposits under the Sub heads above pointed out.

7. The apportionment of this amount under Principal and interest will be made in this office in Communication with the Comptroller, and so the Same need not be made either in the District Treasury, or in the Taluk. It is Enough if the Amount is Shewn under the proper head.

8. As, however, numerous errors have already been discovered into the Loan account of the Encumbered Jaghirdars owing to Such Amounts having been credited into the District Treasury under wrong heads, it is essential that Credit of these Inam villages, as appear in the Taluk "C" accounts from the date of the assumption of the management of these villages, Should be called for from the Taluk Treasuries and Scrutinized in the District Treasury, with reference to the

to the District Treasury Accounts as to whether Credits have been brought under their respective heads in the District Treasury also.

9. Before opening in this office a Register such as is contemplated in article 131 of the Civil Account Code, it is necessary to know what amounts have, from time to time, been recovered from these Encumbered Jaghires, and whether they have been properly credited to their accounts.

10. Requests, therefore, that a Statement shewing such sums, dates of their Credit and the head under which they were credited, may be prepared, accompanied by an application to the Comptroller for the alteration in the Treasury Accounts in Cases of erroneous Credits, and submitted to this office not later than a month from this date.

Signed / H A Sparkes
Dy Commissioner

"Copy

Dy Commissioner

	Sreenivasa Row 5 p.c.							
8.	Inamdar Venkata Row 5 p.c.	45,000	-	-	G.O. No. 340-42 & 147-99.	3750	-	-	Mandanahalli in the Nanjangud Taluk, which are now attached to the Mysore Taluk, on the Redistribution of Hoblis. — Gandanahalli, Degganahalli, Ahenga, Beranahalli of the Gundlupet Taluk. —
9.	Veene Bakshi Subbannah 4 p.c.	48,000	-	-	G.O. 4064-5. R.F. 114-97 & 8. 12. 1898.	4800	-	-	Molegur, Shankhahalli, Kalikundi, Chakkur of the Hegg-kote Taluk and Gowdagere in the Mandya Taluk.
10.	Mr. B. Gopalsingar. Asst to the Amrutmahal spcar 5 p.c.	20,000	-	-	G.O. No. 2816-8. R.S. 352 & 18th August 94.	1666	10	8.	Harihanapur in the Krishnarajapet Taluk.
11.	Seddaraj urs and Krishnajammanni 5 p.c.	29,000	-	-	G.O. No. 17220-1 & 13-4-95 RF 2445 No. 9264-5 & 25-4-97 RF 106-96	1500	-	-	Nirembudeele in the Nanjangud Taluk recently ordered by Government to be brought under Government management. —
12.	Sedda Raj urs on behalf of minor son Nanja Raj urs 5 p.c.	7000	-	-	G.O. 9537-8 & 143-8 & 7-12-94.	700	-	-	
13.	Mr. D. Devaraj urs 5½ p.c.	2,80,000	-	-	G.O. No. FC 1842. & 22. 1. 1904	Collectorate of the Inam villages.			The particulars of villages offered as security and on the loan will be given later on, as also the particulars of the villages of other Encumbered Inamdars.

Copies

Signed N. N. Sparkes

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

N. N. Sparkes

Deputy Commissioner

Statement Shewing the particulars of Loans to Encumbered Jahgirdars of this District and of the villages held as Security for the loans.

No.	Name of Encumbered Jahgirdar.	Amount of Loan Granted.			Authority Sanctioning the Loan	Annual instalment fixed if any.			Villages under Government Management in Security for the loan.
1.	Messrs Nanja Raj Urs and Deva Raj Urs. 5 p.c.	86,511 balance as at 5 end of June'05	9	5,	As.Ar Comptroller's Docket No 6149-84 887 dt 25-4-05.	Collections of the villages.			Kadakola, Sindavalli, Byathahalli, Dadahalli, Beerachandi Jayanahalli of the mysore Taluk Hydeyoor and Hullepura of the Chamarajanagar Taluq.
2.	Sannu Rao and others 5 p.c.	12,782	-	-	G.O No. 317-21 dt 6-1-93	-	do		Thirumalli village of Hunsur Taluk, Padavanakodu and Hosahalli of the Chamarajanagar Taluk.
3.	Venkatesiah & Srinivasa Row. 5 p.c.	6336	-	-	G.O No. 317-21 dt 6-1-93	528	-	-	Including Rs40 per annum A/c of gardens under the Karanjee Tank in the mysore Taluk.
4	Srinivasa Rao and Kesaviah 5 p.c.	3,000	-	-	G.O No. 317-21 dt 6-1-93	300	-	-	
5	Anna Rama Row 5 p.c.	12,000	-	-	G.O No. 1287-90 RF 99-00 dt 17-11-1903.	Collections of the villages.			Gangadahosahalli in the Heggadadevankote Taluk and Asvale in the Hunsur Taluk.
6.	Venkatasubbammanni Avaroo. 5 p.c.	16,000	-	-	G.O No. 1686-8 RF 1764 dt 14-4-94.	1600	-	-	Mangla and other table villages in the Chamarajanagar Taluk.
7.	Musaheb Rama Row	25,000	-	-	G.O No. 385-4 96-6 as	2500	-	-	Kanagavanur Hallahalli, maddur, Hitnalu and

G.R.S. 26]

278 Remainder

R.2 File No. 57-97
Serial No

Diary No. of letter replied to 5135

No. H 9149

9717/91

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Genl: Br.)
, the 8 August 1905.

To

The Dy: Comm^r, Bijapur.

Date of issue

Signed by A.C. Subramanian for C.C.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1127 d. the 10.6.05 on the subject of the recoveries made towards the loan granted to Musaheb Ramakrishna & others, I am to request you to be so good as to state what progress has been made in verifying the recoveries shown in the Statements received from the Taluk, with reference to the Treasury accounts and to expedite the submission of a final report in the matter.

I am

Sir

Your most obedient servant

for Secretary.

Order.

K.S. 5/8/05.

SUBJECT.

Typed on 7.8.05

280

11 Encl 27-8-05 Reply taken 7.9.05

190 S-

From
H W Sparkes Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To
B Venkatarama Aiengar Esqr
Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore Revenue Department

Dated 24/25 August 1905.
Despatched
Received
General No. 223
No.

Enclosure No. 1

8237

8233

971/91

States that the District Treasury Officer has again been requested to report what action has been taken in verifying the recoveries towards the loan granted to Mussalman Ramalow and others.

No 223
Ea 1

Deputy Commissioner's Office.
Mysore, dated the 24th August
1905.

To,

B. K. Venkatavaradaa Iyengar Esquire.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore.
General and Revenue Department
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter
No 7C 9449 dated the 8th instant
R.F. 51-97
requesting me to report what
progress has been made in
verifying the recoveries towards
the loan granted to Munisab
Rama Rao and others
shown in Duck Statements
with reference to the District
Treasury Accounts, I have
the honor to submit herewith
a Copy of docket No 131 dated
the 18th instant, received
from

82 from the Mysore District Treasury officer stating that information is still due from the Talukas noted in the Margin and that on receipt, the report in the matter will be submitted.

? Attached letter.

2. The Mysore District Treasury officer has again been requested to impress on the Amildars concerned the urgency of the matter and to send in their report as early as possible.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

1905.

From C. Krishna Rao Esqre
Treasury officer
Mysore district

To H. H. Stanley Esquire
Deputy commissioner
Mysore district

Dated 17th August 05.
Despatched 18th August 05.
Received
General No. 131
No.

Enclosure No

His no 430 of 8th August 1905 calling for a report regarding the recovery of loans granted to encumbered Jahagirdars of the district.

Has the honor to state that circular orders were issued to the Taluks concerned to submit detailed statements showing the

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12-02.

the amounts recovered and the dates of their credit. Information has been received only from two Taluks, and strict reminders have been issued to the marginally noted Taluks on receipt of the particulars the report called for will be submitted.

Sd/ C. Krishna Rao
Dy. Collector
Mysore District

Copy

Asst. Secretary
Dy. Commissioner
Mysore District

RFSI 17-98

1905 D. 1097

From A H Sparks Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
B K Venkatacharadengar Esqr
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments

Dated 6/8 September 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 292
No.

Enclosure No 3

Submits a statement showing the amounts received in adjustment of the loan granted to Nanjaraja Urs by Government.

No. 292

Ee 3

Deputy Commissioner's office
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 6th September 1905

To

B. K. Srikantaradhya Esqr
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with this office letter to Government No. 223 dated 23d ultimo, regarding the recoveries made towards the Loan granted by Government to Musaheb Rama Rau, I have the honor to submit a statement prepared in Communication with the Mysore District Treasury officer and the Nanjangud Taluk Amildar showing the amount to be recovered in adjustment of the Loan in question and to date as follows:-

2. All the items except (a) and (b) shown in the accompanying statement tally with the accounts of the Comptroller's office in regard to this Loan. Of the two items above excepted, one of

Rs 1500/- realized in the Nanjangud Taluk Treasury on 15-5-1903 and wrongly credited under "Private estates under Govt management" in the Mysore District Treasury Account has been asked to be transferred to this Loan account. Vide Mysore District Treasury officer's memo No 163 dated 26th August 1905. The other item of Rs 757-2-2, reported to have already been credited to "Loan account" in the Mysore District Treasury account has been omitted in the Comptroller's statement. A reference is therefore made to the Comptroller regarding these differences.

3. In consequence of these two items being brought on to the credit of this account, the calculation of interest as already made in the Comptroller's office will have to be revised and the balance reduced.

4 A Copy of the letter addressed on the subject to the Comptroller is herein enclosed

enclosed for reference -

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

A Statement showing the recoveries made towards Loan of Rs 25000 granted to Nunsabeb Nanjanagud by Govt.

Date of Recovery	Place of credit	Amount			Remarks
25.6.1895	Nanjangud Taluk Treasy	2000	-	-	
14.7.1896	- do -	1650	-	-	
10.8.1897	- do -	1550	-	-	
29.4.1898	- do -	113	8	10	
31.12.1898	- do -	2680	12	10	
16.3.1899	- do -	750	-	-	
15.5.1899	- do -	(a) 757	2	2	(a) Left out in the Comptroller's
30.6.1899	- do -	908	8	10	Statement through the Mysore
19.4.1900	- do -	900	-	-	District Treasury office reports
12.4.1901	- do -	1500	-	-	that this was already credited
13.4.1901	- do -	551	14	2	to proper head - vide his
17.7.1901	- do -	400	-	-	Memo No 163 & 26.8.1905
24.7.1901	- do -	225	-	-	
29.1.1902	Mysore District Treasury	890	1	-	
7.2.1902	Nanjangud Taluk - do -	4	8	-	
3.4.1902	- do -	1000	-	-	
15.5.1903	- do -	(b) 1500	-	-	(b) Wrongly credited in the Mysore District Treasury under "Private estates under Govt Management". Comptroller requested to transfer this item to "Loans to be embarked for".
14.5.1904	- do -	1000	-	-	
	Total	18381	7	10	

Total Rs Eighteen thousand three hundred and eighty one annas seven and pies ten only

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

No 703 C/ — From Commissioner's office
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 5th September

To

The Comptroller
to the Government of Mysore

(5048)

Sir,

9.7.11/91

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your docket No 5048. Std 787 dated 13th March 1905 re the difference in the Coorg account of Muvvaleb Rama Rau, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter addressed to Government on the subject today as also a copy of its accompanying statement and to request that the account of Rs 757. 2-2 stated by the Mysore District Treasury officer to have been already credited to this Loan account on 16. 5. 1899, and omitted in your statement may be brought on to this account as also the item of Rs 1500/- requested to be transferred to the head "Loans to encumbered Jagiredars"

Your

from the Head "Private estates under Government management".

2. A copy of the Communication made to you in the Treasury department in respect of the latter account is enclosed for ready reference.

3. I would further request that, in consequence of these 2 items being now credited to Mussaheb Rama Rowi Laau account, the Calculation of interest already made may be revised, and the Correct balance intimated to this office for reference.

I have
Sd W. H. Sparkes
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

"Copy"

W. H. Sparkes

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

No 1005/pil

From 984

The Deputy Commissioner
Treasury Department
Mysore District.

To

The Comptroller in Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 25th August 1905

With reference to his docket No 5048/S&D of 13th March 1905 to the Deputy Commissioner re the discrepancies in the adjustment of recoveries from encumbered Jagirdars Muzaheb Rama Rao, I am to state that Rs 1500/- credited against Nanjangud Taluk in the Private Estate account for May 1903, may be likely transferred to encumbered Jahagirdars Loan recovery for that month against the account of Muzaheb Rama Rao.

23.7.91

Sd C Krishna Rao
for Dy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

"Correct"

Sd/- Sparkes

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

G.R.S. 26]

246

R File No 5/7
Serial No 2778

Diary No. of letter replied to 1097

No. H 2057

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

, *the* 19 Sept 190 .

To The Collector
Madura

Date of issue do

Signed by M. Sahjanaj Chetty

G.T. 17/9/91

In letter No 2928/Ta
6th September 1905 Se-
quitting a statement
showing the amount
recovered in a certain
suit in favour of
Kumarakh Rama Ra
and stating that all
the clerks except two
with the exception of
office and that a
reference (dated 5th
made to

over

SUBJECT.

regarding the enclosure
of the said letter, I beg to
request to intimate to me
that
the correct balance outstanding
towards the token may be intimated

With reference to the
above, I beg to
request that the Bylaws
may be forwarded
to intimate the early the
Correct balance only verified by the Complaints
~~Correct figure as on~~
~~date pending this~~
~~reminder to the Complainant~~
~~if necessary.~~

Yours
16/9

List of enclosures,

Nil

Copies of the foregoing
is forwarded to the
Complainant for info

Copied by

Examined by

.R.S. 26]

File No. 57
Serial No. 7/1897

Diary No. of letter replied to 4805

No. F.C 8092

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Genl Br)
the 15—5—1906.

To The Deputy Commissioner
of the Hyderabad District

Date of issue —do—

Signed by W. Mc Mahon Secretary

Adverting to his letter no 1156 of the 11/5/06 in re the market value of Nawabzada Rama Rao's lands in Bannur, has the honor to request him to be so good as to submit the report of the Huildar referred to in the last para of his letter, at a very early date.

B.O

Secy 9-5-06
for Secretary

Q. 7. 17/91

re
1775/06
15/5/06

over.

SUBJECT.

Typed
a.e.k.
—
22/5

F. 2703
11 months
23/8/1905

300

1905

From A. H. Sparke Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Nagpore District

To B. K. Venkataramanadoss Esqr
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
General Revenue Department

Dated 20

Despatched December 1905

Received

General No. 647

No.

Enclosure No. one

Submits a statement showing the correct balance of Cess outstanding against Mussahib Ramadoss.

9.X.17/91

Deputy Commissioner's Office

No: 647 Mysore District.

Eg. 1

Dated 20 December 1905.

To B.K. Venkata Vardiengar Esqr.

Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

General and Revenue Depts

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your reminder no: 3618 dated 9th inst re the correct balance of loan outstanding against Muvahib Rama Rao, I have the honor to submit herewith a memo showing the calculation of the correct balance arrived at in communication with the Comptroller, and to state that the balance of Rs 16,889-9-7 is in agreement with that shewn by the Comptroller against this Inamdar at the close of the official year 1903 – 04.

2. The Comptroller has since intimated

2 S Rao

vide his docket no: 2818 dated

Loans 643

23rd November 1908 - that at the close

of the year 1904-05, the balance amounted

to Rs 15,221-9-4.

3. The above balance does not include

the penal interest leviable on overdue

instalments as per recent orders of

Government.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obedient Servant

Lt Col Ipsabey

Deputy-Commissioner

of Mysore.

Copy

A memo showing the calculation of correct Balance of loan outstanding against Mushaib Rama Row on 30th June 1904.

904

Particulars of Calculation							Amount		
Balance outstanding on 30th June 1898 accepted by the Inamdar as correct							21458	9	9
Total recoveries towards the loan as per statement sent to Government with this office letter No: 708 dated 1st Sept-1905				18381	7	10			
Deduct amount reserved up to date of acceptance which is included in the above	5313	8	10						
Deduct also the amount wrongly credited towards the loan as per Comptroller's Docket No: 2743 Loans 5-25 dated 20.11.1905 (From the statement sent to Govt on 1.9.05)	757	2	2						
Total Deductions				6070	11	-			
Balance				12310	12	10			
Deduct on account of interest from 1st July 1898 to 30th June 1904 as per Comptroller's calculation				6699	12	8			
Net amount recovered and credited towards the loan							6611	-	2
Balance of loan as originally intimated by the Comptroller							15339	9	7
Add amount left out in the Comptroller's office and subsequently adjusted vide Comptroller's Docket above referred to							1500	-	-
Total balance of loan							16839	9	7

This balance is in accordance with the balance of loan shown against Mushaib Rama Row in the Comptroller's Office on 30th June 1904.

Deputy Commissioner

Comptroller of Mysore.

G.R.S. 26]

8245

Diary No. of letter replied to 2703

426

R File No. S/997-98
Serial No

No. H 4302

6238

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Gen: Fn)
, the 9th January 1906.

To

The Comptroller to the Government
of H.H. the Maharaja

Date of issue — do —

Signed by Mr Sahyaraj Chetty

In forwarding herewith a copy of letter no 647 dated the 20th Dec '05 from the Deputy Comr of the Mysore District together with the statement therein referred to re the loan granted to Munshi Rama Rao, has the honor to request him to be so good as to state if the figures furnished by the Deputy Comr are correct.

B.O

97 17/91

Yours
Dy Secretary

Mrs
SUBJECT.
26/1/06

Typed
9/1
3.1.06.

DT 3202

11 mail 3/2/96 Gen Jan

Special Branch Department.

190 6.

From

Rai N. G. Basu Bahadur, M.A.,
Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

H. K. Venkatasubbaiah Esq.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General & Revenue Dept.

Dated 2d
Despatched 3 February
Received
No. 4289
Loans 74-3

Subject Matter.

Referring to his No. R. 4302 R.F. 51-97 & 9 Rlt: regarding the account of the loan granted to Munshi Rama Rao, states that the balance as per books of this Office on 30 June 06 was Rs. 16718-14-3 & not Rs. 16839-9-7 as calculated by the Dy Comm.

No. 4289/43

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT,

Dated Bangalore, the 2 February 1906.

FROM

RAI N. G. BASU BAHADUR, M.A.,

COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT.

To

B.K.Venkatavarada Iyengar, Esq.,

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue DEPARTMENTS

SIR,

With reference to your No.Fl:4302/RF51-97 dated 9th Ultimo regarding the account of the loan granted to Musahib Rama Rao, I have the honor to state that the balance, as per books of this office, on 30th June 1904 was Rs.16,718-14-3 and not Rupees 16,839-9-7 as stated by the Deputy Commissioner. This latter figure represents the balance as originally intimated to him by this office in November 1904.

A further adjustment of Rs.120-11-4 made in the accounts for June Final of that year reduced the balance to Rs. 16,718-14-3 which fact was also communicated to him in this office No.6149-84/889 dated 11th April '05 but apparently lost sight of by the Deputy Commissioner.

The balance of the loan account on 30th June '05 which is as stated by the Deputy Commissioner Rs.15,221-9-4 tallys with the registers of this office and is correct.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

16839-9-7
120-11-4
16718-14-3
15221-9-4
499-4-9

G.R.S. 26]

Diary No. of letter replied to 3202

General and Rev. Secretariat
( )
, *the* 9-3-1906.

Date of issue —do—

Signed by W Sahyer 2 asstt

R File No. 57 of 97
Serial No

No. 5709

To D.C. Kistna

The S.C. of the Mupore St.

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter no: 647 of the 20.12.05, regarding the loan made to Munaheb Rama Rao, I have the honor to invite ~~your attention~~ reference to this office letter no: H: 3695 of the 19.11.04, wherein you ~~are~~ were requested to take ~~under~~ management the Inam villages mortgaged to Govt. as security for the loan and to request you to be so good as to state whether the villages have been ~~taken over~~ brought under Govt: management ~~of it is~~ and if not already done, ~~you are requested~~ to do so ~~at once~~ without further delay

over.

SUBJECT.

I am further ~~desd~~ to State that the ~~Govt are dissatisfied with the~~ market value of the lands in Bermuda and the fact of their being free from encumbrances ~~would~~ should have been reported & the ~~Government~~ Govt have noticed the omission in this respect with displeasure.

~~Council of the Island have failed~~

to bring to the notice of ~~Govt the state~~ actual market value of the lands at Bayview & the encumbrances subsisting on them. ---

A very early reply is solicited.

I am &c,

Secretary.

Shane le
28.2.1906
Secretary

U.S.
27/2/06.

28.206

List of enclosures,

Copied by AGS·3

Examined by

MGCPB—20,000-19-12—04.

D. 3896

312

From 11 mail 21/3/96 The Dy Commissioner Mysore District

To The Secretary to Govt Mily Dept Bangalore

Dated 17 March 96

Despatched 19

Received

General No. 960
No.

Enclosure No.

Shiko Rat Mussalee Rama Rao's villages were already taken under Govt management What is to be done in the loan is pending.

8268

41

97.7.17/91

No 960

Mysore Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated Mysore 16 March 1898.

To B. K. Venkataramanda Gengar Esqr
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter no: H 5409-W.R. 57-97 dated the 9th instant, enquiring with certain observations, whether the Inam villages of Muvakelvations, whether the Inam villages of Muvakelvations, whether the Inam villages of Muvakelv

Matter of granting the further loan applied for.
I have now issued orders to the Builder to make
needful inquiries and report thereon.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

By Commissioners
Wm Myare Keith

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 57/997
Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 3896

No. JL 6715

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Gen : Fin)
, *the* 12-4- 1906.

Date of issue —do—

Signed by W. M. Krishna Rao

To The Deputy Comr of the Mysore District

Adverting to his letter no 960 dated the 17th March 06 re the management of the Inam Villages of Musalub Rama Rao secured to Government for the loan granted to him, has the honor to invite his attention to the 2nd para of his letter about the question of fixing the value of the wet lands at Bannur offered as additional security for further loan applied for and to request him to submit the report referred

over.

SUBJECT.

to return at an early date

B. O.

8.4.06

for secretary

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

I/June 1906 Mus & Lib Gen Br.

1890 S. 4805

From

The Director Museums &c

To The Secretary Govt of Bombay Genl & Rev Depts

Dated //

Despatched 12 May 1906.

Received

General No. 1156
No.

10941 Rev

Enclosure No. 16/c

Reports on the market value of Musahib Rama Rao's lands in Baroda.

No 1156
1906

Mysore Deputy Commissioner's office
Dated Mysore 11th May 1906.

To,

B. K. Venkatavaradachargar Esqr
Secretary to the Government
General and Revenue Department.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no: 5709
R.R. 51-97
dated the 9th March 1906 and subsequent reminders regarding the market value of lands in Bannur, belonging to Musaheb Rama Rau, I have the honor to submit herewith the file of vernacular correspondence ending with the Narsipur Taluk Sheristadar's report no: 263-252 dated the 26th ultimo.

It would appear from the above report that in the village of Bannur, 13 minor inams & land in the Khata of Parvathabayi, daughter of Bakshi Siva Rao, one of them viz Survey no: 813 is in the possession and enjoyment of Sitamma - daughter of Mr Raghavachar, advocate at Mysore, who has been paying up the local and Irrigation Cesses thereon

and the remaining 30.90 lands have been sold to one Kantiravaiyar, the present Anidar of Tuticorin who has been enjoying the land paying the Government dues thereon. The question of transferring these lands to the Khata of one Kantiravaiyar is reported to be yet under correspondence in the Taluk Office.

3 All these lands are valued at Rs 7370 as per Mahazar drawn and attested by respectable people of the village.

4 The Anidar has not furnished his own opinion in this matter. He has been asked to submit a further report after satisfying himself whether the panchayats' valuation is correct.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

H H Sparke

Deputy Commissioner

No: 263

Rec

Loan a/c

Rec

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 185

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸೆಷನ್ಸ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಡಿ. ಕೌನ್ಸಿ ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು

ಪಿ- ದಿಂದ ಪಿ- ವರೆಗೆ ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು

ಅ ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರಾದ ಪಿ- ಮತ್ತು ಪಿ- ಇವರು

ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಸೆಷನ್ಸ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು

ಸಾಗರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ತೀರ್ಪಿನ ಪ್ರತಿ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಇದೆ. ಸದರಿ ತೀರ್ಪಿನಲ್ಲಿ

ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರಿಗೆ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು

ಸಾಗರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ತೀರ್ಪಿನ ಪ್ರತಿ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಇದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು

ಈ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದ ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂ. 161 ಕ್ಕೆ 1916 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 17 ರಂದು

ಅ ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರುಗಳು 12-4-1916 ರಂದು ಹೈ ಕೋರ್ಟ್-ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್

ನಂ. 2263 ಕ್ಕೆ- ಅ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ

ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಅ ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರಿಗೆ ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿಯ

ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕಾರಣಗಳು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಅಪೀಲು

No. 161/462

(Signed) H H Sparke
13-3-1906

1/21/3/06 Dy Comm. Punjab. Distt.

W607

18.3.906

699

চন্দ্রকান্তি

	৪১৪	৫-৪	৩৬-০-০	১৫০০-০-০
	১৪২৯	১-১	৬-০-০	৩০০-০-০
	১৪৩০	২-৭	১৪-০-০	৬২৫-০-০
	১৪৩৭	১-১	০-৪-০	২৫-০-০
	১৪৪৪	২-২	১৪-০-০	৬০০-০-০
	১৪৪৫	১-১৭	১০-০-০	৪৫০-০-০
	১৪৪৭	২-৭	১৫-০-০	৬২৫-০-০
	১৪৪৮	১-২৬	১১-০-০	৪২৫-০-০
	১৪৫১	২-১৬	১৬-০-০	৬২০-০-০
	১৪৫২	২-২	১৪-০-০	৬০০-০-০
	১৪৫৩	১-১৩	৯-০-০	৪০০-০-০
	১৪৫৪	২-৭	১৫-০-০	৬০০-০-০
	১৪৫৫	২-২	১৩-০-০	৬০০-০-০
			৩৭০-০-০	

J. Moorty Row

1. [illegible]
2. [illegible]
3. [illegible]
4. [illegible]
5. [illegible]
6. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

G.R.S. 26]

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

General and Rev. Secretariat
( )
, the 190 .

Date of issue 26-7-06

Signed by M M Krishnaswami

27-7-91

File No.
Serial No

No. 71 1029

To The Dy Commr
Nyeri

Hon the honour to observe that the further report regarding the valuation of the lands belonging to Mussahib Ramanathan, promised in paras 3 of his letter no. 1156 dt 5-06 has not yet been received & I further request that the Supt may be expedited.

BV
26/7/06

over.

SUBJECT.

D481

Gen. 31 B54 II 1896 March 30 P6

[illegible]



From The Honourable Mysore

To The Secretary
Public Works Department

Dated 27 July 96.

Despatched

Received

General No. 99.
No.

Enclosure No. 16 [illegible]

Submits records re
Valuation of Musahib Rama
Davis Lands in Bangalore

No 99
Ex 1906

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated Mysore 27th July 1906

To

K. S. Chandrasekara Iyer Esqre B.A. B.L
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Revenue & General departments

Sir,

Adverting to your docket no FL 8092
R.T. 51-97 dated the 25th May 1906, and
reminders ending with docket No 1029 of
the 26th July 1906 in re the market value of
lands belonging to Mousahib Rama Rao
at Bannur, I have the honor to submit
herewith in original the Vernacular
correspondence ending with the T Narsipur
taluk Amildar's report No $\frac{14}{12}$ dated the
13th instant.

2 It may be observed from this that
the wet lands of this gentleman are
valued at Rs 250 and the dry lands
at Rs 25 per acre, and that all
the lands if sold, will doubtless
fetch a value of Rs 6000

3. The Amildar is of opinion that the above valuation is sound and correct.

386

I have the honor &c
Sir
Your Most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

3:6

No 14/

Loans and Advances
15-7-06

212657

26.4.06

W. 201

20-5-06.

29.5.06

13.6.96

11/5/96,

21-5-96

W 18631

No. 217

Dated the 29th May 1969

From

The Deputy Collector
Nellore

To

The Executive Officer
Municipality
Nellore

Sir,

Sub:- Municipality - Nellore - Sale of Municipal
properties - Auction of Municipal Site No. 15
Ward No. 20/D(1) Block Nellore - Sale
confirmed - Regarding.

Ref:- Proceedings of the Executive Officer
Municipality Nellore in Rc. No. 1/69
dated 15-5-1969.

In the reference cited, the Executive Officer
Municipality Nellore has requested this office
to conduct auction for the sale of Municipal
Site No. 15 Ward No. 20/D(1) Block Nellore
and to confirm the sale in favour of the
highest bidder.

Accordingly, I conducted auction for the
sale of the said site on 27-5-1969 at 11 A.M.
in the presence of the Executive Officer
Municipality Nellore and the highest bid
of Rs. 9,000-00 (Rupees nine thousand only)
was offered by Sri [illegible]
Nellore. The said bid is hereby confirmed
in favour of the said bidder.

Deputy Collector
Nellore.

30.5.69

Sri [illegible]
Nellore

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
	813	Bamboo	5	8	36 -	1300	
	1429	Do	1	1	6 0	256-4	
	1430	Do	2	7	14 0	512-8	
	1437	Do	1	1	0 8	25-10	
	1444	Do	2	2	14 0	512-8	
	1445	Do	1	17	10 0	556-4	
	1447	Do	2	7	15 0	292-12	
	1448	Do	1	26	11 0	406-8	
	1451	Do	2	16	16 0	609-0	
	1452	Do	2	2	14 0	512-8	
	1453	Do	1	13	9 0	325-4	
	1454	Do	2	9	15 0	556-4	
	1455	Do	2	2	13 0	512-8	
	13		26	31	173 8	6162-14	

I understand that the lands have been sold away by
Mr. Manohar Rathika is not the owner at present
Dhuchuri

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 51-97
Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 481

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

, *the* 24-1-1907

Date of issue do

Signed by [illegible]

READ.—

Petition of the 31st October 1904, from Mr Musaheb Rama Rao, praying for either an additional loan of Rs: 5000/: on the security of his lands in the Banur village, or permission to with-hold the payment of the annual instalments for 3 years, so that he may discharge his other debts.

2) Correspondence ending with the Amildar's letter No: 99 of the 2 July 1906, on the same subject.

ORDER THEREON:—No.

Order thereon:—

It is reported that

over

24-1-07

No R5633-4

24-1-07

SUBJECT

the lands in the Banur village offered as security by Mr Rama Rao do not belong to him. There has been great delay in the recovery of the loan already advanced to him. Under the circumstances, the Govt regret that they can not comply with either of Mr Rama Rao's requests.

31/12/18

In Secretary

To Mr Rama Rao

the Dy. Coll, Mysore Dt.

K.S.

31/12